### CHAPMAN & HALL/CRC ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AND ROBOTICS SERIES



# **Virtual Humans** Today and Tomorrow

## David Burden Maggi Savin-Baden



## Virtual Humans Today and Tomorrow

### Chapman & Hall/CRC Artificial Intelligence and Robotics Series

Series Editor: Roman Yampolskiy

*The Virtual Mind* Designing the Logic to Approximate Human Thinking *Niklas Hageback* 

> Intelligent Autonomy of UAVs Advanced Missions and Future Use Yasmina Bestaoui Sebbane

Artificial Intelligence With an Introduction to Machine Learning, Second Edition Richard E. Neapolitan, Xia Jiang

Artificial Intelligence and the Two Singularities Calum Chace

> Behavior Trees in Robotics and AI An Introduction Michele Collendanchise, Petter Ögren

Artificial Intelligence Safety and Security Roman V. Yampolskiy

Artificial Intelligence for Autonomous Networks Mazin Gilbert

### Virtual Humans

Today and Tomorrow David Burden, Maggi Savin-Baden

For more information about this series please visit: https://www.crcpress.com/Chapman--HallCRC-Artificial-Intelligence-and-Robotics-Series/ book-series/ARTILRO

## Virtual Humans Today and Tomorrow

David Burden Maggi Savin-Baden



CRC Press is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an **informa** business A CHAPMAN & HALL BOOK CRC Press Taylor & Francis Group 6000 Broken Sound Parkway NW, Suite 300 Boca Raton, FL 33487-2742

© 2019 by Taylor & Francis Group, LLC CRC Press is an imprint of Taylor & Francis Group, an Informa business

No claim to original U.S. Government works

Printed on acid-free paper

International Standard Book Number-13: 978-1-138-55801-4 (Hardback)

This book contains information obtained from authentic and highly regarded sources. Reasonable efforts have been made to publish reliable data and information, but the author and publisher cannot assume responsibility for the validity of all materials or the consequences of their use. The authors and publishers have attempted to trace the copyright holders of all material reproduced in this publication and apologize to copyright holders if permission to publish in this form has not been obtained. If any copyright material has not been acknowledged please write and let us know so we may rectify in any future reprint.

Except as permitted under U.S. Copyright Law, no part of this book may be reprinted, reproduced, transmitted, or utilized in any form by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying, microfilming, and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without written permission from the publishers.

For permission to photocopy or use material electronically from this work, please access www.copyright. com (http://www.copyright.com/) or contact the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc. (CCC), 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, 978-750-8400. CCC is a not-for-profit organization that provides licenses and registration for a variety of users. For organizations that have been granted a photocopy license by the CCC, a separate system of payment has been arranged.

**Trademark Notice:** Product or corporate names may be trademarks or registered trademarks, and are used only for identification and explanation without intent to infringe.

Visit the Taylor & Francis Web site at http://www.taylorandfrancis.com

and the CRC Press Web site at http://www.crcpress.com

Suppose that sometimes he found it impossible to tell the difference between the real men and those which had only the shape of men, and had learned by experience that there were only two ways of telling them apart... first, that these automata never answered in word or sign, except by chance, to questions put to them; and second, that though their movements were often more regular and certain than those of the wisest men, yet in many things which they would have to do to imitate us, they failed more disastrously than the greatest fools.

#### **René Descartes**

The Philosophical Writings of Descartes: Volume 3, The Correspondence (Trans. J. Cottingham., R. Soothoff., D. Murdoch., A. Kenny. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 1991)



To our partners, Deborah and John, the least virtual humans we know.



# Permissions

THE QUOTE FROM *Snow Crash* by Neal Stephenson in Chapter 2 is used with permission of Penguin Random House and Darhansoff & Verrill Literary Agents.



# Contents

List of Figures, xxi

List of Tables, xxiii

Acknowledgements, xxv

Authors, xxvii

### INTRODUCTION, XXIX

### SECTION I The Landscape

CHAPTER 1 • What Are Virtual Humans?	3
INTRODUCTION	3
WHAT IS A VIRTUAL HUMAN	3
EXISTING DEFINITIONS OF VIRTUAL HUMAN	5
FROM SELF-DRIVING CAR TO VIRTUAL HUMAN	6
THE TRAITS OF A VIRTUAL HUMAN	6
Physical or Digital?	7
Visual, Auditory or Textual?	7
Embodied or Disembodied?	8
Humanoid or Non-Humanoid?	9
Natural Language or Command-Driven Communication?	9
Autonomous or Controlled?	9
Emotional or Unemotional?	10
Presence of a Personality?	10
Ability to Reason?	11

Can It Learn?	11
Is It Imaginative?	12
Sentient or Non-Sentient?	12
DEMONSTRATING INTELLIGENCE?	12
A VIRTUAL HUMAN PROFILE	13
VIRTUAL HUMANOIDS AND VIRTUAL SAPIENS	14
TOWARDS A WORKING DEFINITION	16
EXAMPLES OF VIRTUAL HUMANS	17
Chatbots	17
Autonomous Agents	18
Conversational Agents	19
Pedagogical Agents	20
Virtual Mentors	20
ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE, MACHINE LEARNING	
and virtual humans	21
CONCLUSION	21
REFERENCES	22
CHAPTER 2 • Virtual Humans and Artificial Intelligence	25
INTRODUCTION	25
AN ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE LANDSCAPE	26
Complexity and Sophistication	26
Presentation and Humanness	28
Is It Imaginative? Sentient or Non-Sentient? DEMONSTRATING INTELLIGENCE? A VIRTUAL HUMAN PROFILE VIRTUAL HUMANOIDS AND VIRTUAL SAPIENS TOWARDS A WORKING DEFINITION EXAMPLES OF VIRTUAL HUMANS Chatbots Autonomous Agents Conversational Agents Pedagogical Agents Virtual Mentors ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE, MACHINE LEARNING AND VIRTUAL HUMANS CONCLUSION REFERENCES CHAPTER 2 • Virtual Humans and Artificial Intelligence INTRODUCTION AN ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE LANDSCAPE Complexity and Sophistication Presentation and Humanness Marketing AI versus Real AI THE THREE BIG CHALLENGES IN AI DEVELOPMENT THE TURING TEST AND UNCANNY VALLEY VIRTUAL HUMANS LANDSCAPE ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE VERSUS AUGMENTED INTELLIGENCE VIRTUAL HUMANS IN SCIENCE FICTION Film and Television Radio	28
THE THREE BIG CHALLENGES IN AI DEVELOPMENT	30
THE TURING TEST AND UNCANNY VALLEY	31
VIRTUAL HUMANS LANDSCAPE	32
ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE VERSUS AUGMENTED	
INTELLIGENCE	33
VIRTUAL HUMANS IN SCIENCE FICTION	33
Film and Television	33
Radio	35

Drama	36
Literature	37
Games	38
CONCLUSION	42
REFERENCES	43

### SECTION II Technology

CHAPTER 3 Body and Senses	49
INTRODUCTION	49
WHAT MAKES AN AVATAR?	49
FACIAL RENDERING	51
Facial and speech animation	53
HAIR MODELLING	54
Hair Shape Modelling	54
Hair Dynamics	55
Hair Rendering	55
BODY RENDERING/MODELLING	55
BODY ANIMATION/MECHANICS	56
CLOTHES AND CLOTH MODELLING	57
BODY PHYSIOLOGICAL MODEL	58
SENSES	60
Sight	61
Hearing	62
Other Human Senses	63
Data as a Sense	63
Data from a Virtual World	63
Data from a Physical World	64
Data from the Cyber-World	64
CONCLUSION	64
REFERENCES	65

Chapter 4 • Mind	71
UNDERSTANDING WHAT CONSTITUTES THE MIND	71
PERCEPTION	72
ATTENTION	73
APPRAISAL, EMOTION AND MOOD	75
PERSONALITY	77
MOTIVATION, GOALS AND PLANNING	79
DECISION-MAKING, PROBLEM-SOLVING	
AND REASONING	81
World Models	82
MEMORY	84
LEARNING	85
IMAGINATION AND CREATIVITY	87
Meta-management and self-monitoring	89
CONCLUSION	90
REFERENCES	91
CHAPTER 5 • Communications	97
INTRODUCTION	97
COMMUNICATIONS: NON-LANGUAGE MODALITIES	97
COMMUNICATIONS: LANGUAGE-BASED MODALITIES	98
Speech Recognition	98
Speech Generation (Text to Speech)	101
NATURAL LANGUAGE UNDERSTANDING	
AND COMMUNICATION	103
Machine Learning	105
Conversation Management	105
Uses and Future Developments	106
NATURAL LANGUAGE GENERATION	107
INTERNAL DIALOGUE	109
CONCLUSION	110
REFERENCES	110

Chapter 6 • Architecture	115
INTRODUCTION	115
BACKGROUND	115
THE SOAR MODEL	117
ACT-R	119
SLOMAN'S H-COGAFF MODEL	120
OPENCOG	122
RODRIGUEZ'S NEUROSCIENCE MODEL	125
LIN'S EMOCOG ARCHITECTURE	126
THE FEARNOT! AFFECTIVE MIND ARCHITECTURE	
AND PSI MODELS	128
BECKER-ASANO'S WASABI MODEL	130
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA'S VIRTUAL	
HUMAN TOOLKIT	132
OPENAI	134
INTEGRATION STANDARDS	135
CRITIQUE AND FUTURES	135
CONCLUSION	137
REFERENCES	138
Chapter 7 • Embodiment	143
INTRODUCTION	143
FROM SYMBOLIC TO EMBODIED ARTIFICIAL	
INTELLIGENCE	144
EMBODIMENT AND COGNITION	144
Intelligence and Emergence	146
Situatedness	146
Embodiment	146
GROUNDING	147
ENACTIVE AI	149
CHALLENGES TO EMBODIED AI	150

VIRTUAL HUMANS AND VIRTUAL WORLDS	151
Assessing Virtual Worlds against Brooks' Five Principles	153
Assessing Virtual Worlds against Other Embodied Models	155
CONCLUSION	155
REFERENCES	156
CHAPTER 8 • Assembling and Assemblages	159
BUILDING A VIRTUAL HUMAN	159
The Natural Language Core	160
Memory and Knowledge	160
Problem-Solving and Decision-Making	161
Representation	161
Emotions and Motivation	162
Embodiment	162
Meta-Management and Personality	163
Its Own Life	163
halo – a case study	164
THE ROLES OF VIRTUAL HUMANS	166
Intelligent Speaker	167
Customer Service Chatbot	167
Personal Virtual Assistant	168
Virtual Tutor	168
Virtual Non-Player Character	168
Virtual Life Coach	169
Virtual Expert	169
Virtual Persona	170
Virtual Person	171
Digital Immortal	171
And Sentience?	171
Transhuman Space Revisited	171
VIRTUAL HUMANS THROUGH DIFFERENT LENSES	172
The Lens of Trust in Technology	173

The Species Lens	174
The Lens of Personhood	175
MORE THAN THE SUM OF ITS PARTS?	176
REFERENCES	177

SECTION III Issues and Futures

CHAPTER 9 Digital Ethics	181
INTRODUCTION	181
RECENT CONSIDERATIONS OF ETHICS AND VIRTUAL	
HUMANS	181
Robotics Ethics	181
Machine Morality and Intimacy Ethics	182
Technical and Design Ethics	184
Legal Issues	184
ethical standpoints and virtual humans	185
Utilitarian	186
Deontological	186
Virtue Ethics	186
Situational Ethics	186
Discourse Ethics	186
PRACTICAL IMPLICATIONS	188
ETHICAL GUIDELINES AND RESEARCH	188
Ethical Behaviour	189
Ethical Practice	190
Anonymity	190
Confidentiality	190
Informed Consent	190
Minimal Risk	191
Honesty	191
Privacy	191
Plausibility	192

Research Governance	192
Disclosure	193
THE 'HUMAN SUBJECT' AND VIRTUAL HUMANS	193
CONCLUSION	195
REFERENCES	195
CHAPTER 10 Identity and Agency	197
INTRODUCTION	197
RETHINKING IDENTITY	197
Dimension One: Dislocated Avatars	198
Dimension Two: Representative Avatars	198
Dimension Three: Avatars as Toys and Tools	198
Dimension Four: Avatars as Extensions of Self	199
Dimension Five: Avatars as Identity Extensions	199
FORMATIONS AND FORMULATIONS OF IDENTITY	200
Spatial Identities	200
Networked Identities	201
Bridged Identities	201
Discarded or Left-Behind Identities	202
Cyberspace and Virtual Humans	203
UNDERSTANDING AGENCY	203
Phenomenological Agency	204
Neurocognitive Agency	205
Attributed Agency	205
AGENCY AND AFFORDANCES	206
PROXEMICS	207
AGENCY AND IDENTITY	209
CONCLUSION	210
REFERENCES	210
CHAPTER 11 • Virtual Humans for Education	213
INTRODUCTION	213
LEARNING	213

NETWORKED LEARNING AND TECHNOLOGY-ENHANCED	
LEARNING: SOME DEBATES	215
Networked Learning	215
Technology-Enhanced Learning	216
Online Learning	216
Blended Learning	216
Digital Education	217
VIRTUAL HUMANS AS INTERRUPTION AND CHANGE TO CURRENT PEDAGOGIC PRACTICES	218
USING VIRTUAL HUMANS IN EDUCATIONAL SETTINGS	220
Virtual Humans as Teachable Agents	220
Virtual Humans as Teaching Assistants	220
Virtual Humans for Motivating Learning	223
LEARNING, IMMERSION AND VIRTUAL HUMANS	224
DIRECTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH	224
CONCLUSION	227
REFERENCES	227
CHAPTER 12 Digital Immortality	231
INTRODUCTION	231
BACKGROUND	231
Research and literature	233
MODELS, CONCEPTS AND PRACTICES	233
Models of Grief	234
Digital Grief Concepts	234
Digital Grief Practices	235
FORMS OF DIGITAL IMMORTALITY	236
Digital Immortality Creators	236
Digital Immortality Recipients	236
DIGITAL LEGACY	236
DIGITAL IMMORTALITY AND VIRTUAL HUMANS	237

CREATING DIGITAL IMMORTALITY	239
Passive Updating	241
Interacting with Systems	241
Interacting with People	242
Interacting with the Physical World	242
Consent and digital immortality	242
CONCLUSION	243
REFERENCES	243
CHAPTER 13 • Futures and Possibilities	247
INTRODUCTION	247
FUTURE CAUTION	248
The Gartner Hype-Cycle	248
McLuhan's Tetrad	249
FUTURE SCENARIOS	251
Taking Stock: Virtual Humans 2018–2030	251
Developments 2030–2050: Routes to an Artificial Mind	253
Uploading the Brain	253
The Technological Singularity	254
Developments 2050–2100	255
THE THREE CHALLENGES	257
Challenge 1: Improving Humanness	258
Challenge 2: Artificial General Intelligence	258
Challenge 3: 2100 and Onwards – Artificial Sentience and Virtual Sapiens	260
THE ANTHROPOMORPHIC CHALLENGE	263
VIRTUAL SAPIENS: THE FUTURE?	264
REFERENCES	267

GLOSSARY, 271

INDEX, 279

# List of Figures

Figure 1.1	Elements of a virtual human	4
Figure 1.2	(a) 2D and (b) 3D virtual human avatar	8
Figure 1.3	Traits of virtual humans	13
Figure 1.4	Profiles of virtual humanoids (dashed line), virtual sapiens (solid line – effectively the complete edge of the decagon) and virtual humans (the shaded space in between)	15
Figure 1.5	Mapping current virtual human types: chatbots (dashed), conversational agents (dotted), and pedagogical agents (solid)	18
Figure 2.1	The AI/computing landscape	26
Figure 2.2	The complexity/sophistication dimension	27
Figure 2.3	Marketing AI	29
Figure 2.4	The populist/science fiction view of AI	30
Figure 2.5	Virtual humans on the AI landscape	32
Figure 2.6	Mapping fictional AI and virtual humans	42
Figure II.1	Virtual human component architecture	46
Figure 3.1	The elements of a virtual human body	50
Figure 3.2	Simple 2D avatars developed with the Sitepal system (www.sitepal.com). Animated, talking versions are available at www.virtualhumans.ai, as well as links to more state-of-the-art facial renders. (a) A cartoon 2D animated avatar. (b) An animated 2D avatar based on a photograph of one of the authors. (c) An animated 2D avatar based on a photograph of one of the authors	51

xxi

Figure 4.1	The key mind processes of a virtual human	72
Figure 4.2	Kismet robot	74
Figure 5.1	Research on dialogue systems for language learning mapped against four different disciplines	104
Figure 6.1	Original SOAR architecture	118
Figure 6.2	The basic Cog-Aff model	121
Figure 6.3	Sloman's H Cog-Aff architecture	122
Figure 6.4	OpenCOG model	124
Figure 6.5	Rodriguez's neuroscience model	126
Figure 6.6	EmoCOG model	127
Figure 6.7	FAtiMA/PSI model	129
Figure 6.8	The PSI model	129
Figure 6.9	The pleasure arousal dominance (PAD) space	130
Figure 6.10	The WASABI architecture	131
Figure 6.11	WASABI integrated into a virtual human	132
Figure 6.12	USC virtual human toolkit	133
Figure 8.1	Representations of Halo	164
Figure 8.2	Intelligent Speaker profile	167
Figure 8.3	Virtual persona profile	170
Figure 8.4	The HU-HN matrix	175
Figure 10.1	Hall's interpersonal distances	208
Figure 12.1	An overview of a potential virtual humans-based digital immortality system	239
Figure 13.1	Marshall McLuhan's Tetrad of media effects	250
Figure 13.2	The 3 challenges of virtual human development	257
Figure 13.3	Maturity of different technologies contributing to 'humanness'	258

# List of Tables

Table 2.1	Presentational Dimensions of Humanness	28
Table 4.1	Seven Methods of Virtual Human Learning	86
Table 6.1	Virtual Human Interface Standards	136
Table 9.1	Types of Virtual Humans and Approaches to Ethics	187
Table 11.1	Learning Approaches and Virtual Humans	214
Table 11.2	Forms of eLearning	217
Table 13.1	Virtual Human Capabilities c. 2030	252
Table 13.2	Challenges for Virtual Humans c. 2030	252
Table 13.3	Estimates of Likelihood of Human-Level Machine Intelligence	260



# Acknowledgements

THIS BOOK HAS BEEN a challenge to create in these liquid times of moving technologies. We are grateful to colleagues and family for keeping us up to date and informed, as the initial reviewers of the proposal, and to Randi Cohen at CRC Press/Taylor & Francis Group, who has been so positive about it as a project. Any mistakes and errors are ours; we are after all, only physical humans.



# Authors

**David Burden** has been involved in artificial intelligence (AI), virtual reality (VR) and immersive environments since the 1990s. David set up Daden Limited in 2004 to help organisations explore and exploit the social and commercial potential of using chatbots, AI and virtual environments. David and his team have delivered over 50 immersive learning and chatbot projects for clients across the globe and have led over a dozen collaborative research projects funded by InnovateUK and the Ministry of Defence (MOD). David was a finalist in British Computer Society's (BCS) machine intelligence competition and has authored over 20 papers on virtual worlds and AI. In his early life, David was an officer in the Royal Corps of Signals, and he still maintains an interest in wargaming, alongside science-fiction role-playing (*Traveller*) and space exploration – although he's just as happy walking and trekking on high hills or in remote places.

**Maggi Savin-Baden** is Professor of Education at University of Worcester and has researched and evaluated staff and student experience of learning for over 20 years and has gained funding in this area (Leverhulme Trust; JISC; Higher Education Academy; MoD). She has a strong article record of over 50 research articles and 16 books, which reflects her research interests on the impact of innovative learning, digital fluency, cyber-influence, pedagogical agents, qualitative research methods, and problem-based learning. In her spare time, she runs, bakes, climbs, and attempts triathlons.



# Introduction

**V**IRTUAL HUMANS ARE TYPICALLY seen as human-like characters on a computer screen or speaker with embodied life-like behaviours, which may include speech, emotions, locomotion, gestures and movements of the head, eyes or other parts of an avatar body. At one end of the scale are smart-speaker and virtual assistant systems, such as Siri, Alexa and Cortana, the chatbot-based virtual coaches found in several mobile phone applications and the customer service chatbots which are becoming increasingly prevalent on the Internet. More developed examples include virtual tutors, virtual life coaches, digital twins and virtual personas, and even the non-player characters in some computer games. At the other, more sophisticated, end of the scale are the virtual humans of science fiction, from *Iron Man*'s J.A.R.V.I.S and *Red Dwarf*'s Holly to *Star Trek Voyager*'s Emergency Medical Hologram. All portray themselves as human, and offer differing degrees of human capability, and, indeed, humanness.

This book argues that virtual humans are a phenomenon of the twentyfirst century, and while some people have debated their impact on society, few have researched them in-depth. It presents an overview of current developments, research and practice is this area thus far, offering a fair and honest overview of the issues, outlining the risks, not exaggerating the claims but providing evidence and research. It will review current practices at a time when education is changing rapidly with digital, technological advances. It will outline the major challenges faced by today's developers, teachers and researchers, such as the possibility for using virtual humans for teaching, training and practice. The book will situate many of the discussions around related applications with which the general reader may be aware (for example, Siri, Cortana and Alexa, as already mentioned), and draw examples from speculative and science fiction (from *Pygmalion* and *Prometheus*, in classical mythology, to *Battlestar Galactica*, *Her* and Channel 4's *Humans*). The book is not particularly concerned with the use by physical humans of avatars in, for example, virtual worlds (another use of the term virtual human). However, such situations can put the virtual human and physical human on an equal footing within a virtual environment.

The first section of the book, *Part I: The Landscape*, outlines understandings of virtual humans and begins by providing much needed definitions and a taxonomy of artificial intelligence. This section includes *Chapter 1: What Are Virtual Humans?*, which presents an introductory analysis of the traits which are important when considering virtual humans, argues for a spectrum of virtual human types, and evaluates the common virtual human forms against that spectrum. The second chapter, *Chapter 2: Virtual Humans and Artificial Intelligence*, broadens this discussion by engaging with the issue that there is a range of perspectives about what counts as artificial intelligence (AI) and virtual humans. Thus, this chapter presents a virtual humans/AI landscape and positions the different terms within it, as well as identifying three main challenges within the landscape that need to be overcome to move from today's chatbots and AI to the sort of AI envisaged in the popular imagination and science fiction literature.

The second section of the book, Part II: Technology, explores approaches to developing and using relevant technologies, and ways of creating virtual humans. It presents a comprehensive overview of this rapidly developing field. This section begins with *Chapter 3*: Body, which examines the technologies which enable the creation of a virtual body for the virtual human, explores the extent to which current approaches and techniques allow a human body to be modelled realistically as a digital avatar and analyses how the capability might develop over the coming years. The chapter also explores the senses, and examines how human (and other) senses could be created for a virtual human. Chapter 4: Mind complements the study of the 'body' and 'senses' in Chapter 3 by examining the different technologies and approaches involved in the creation of the mind or 'brain' of a virtual human. The chapter will consider current research in the areas of perception, emotion, attention and appraisal, decision-making, personality, memory, learning, and meta-cognition, and anticipates the future directions that may develop over the coming decade.

The next chapter, *Chapter 5: Communications*, explores how the senses and abilities created for a virtual human can support language and nonverbal communications. *Chapter 6: Architecture* then reviews some of the leading architectures for creating a virtual human. The range considered will show the breadth of approaches, from those which are theoretical, to those that have emerged from being engineering-based, to those inspired by neuroscience. The final chapter in the section, *Chapter 7: Embodiment*, begins by examining the current and significant research around the concept of embodiment, and some of the challenges to it. The importance of 'grounding' will also be discussed. The possibilities for the use of virtual worlds to provide that space for embodiment and grounding will then be presented, and its implications for virtual human development assessed. *Chapter 8: Assembling and Assemblages* considers how all the elements considered so far can be used to build a virtual human and describes some of the more common types of virtual human which could be encountered now or in the future. It then uses several different lenses to assess the 'humanness' of such virtual humans, and whether a virtual human needs to be more than the sum of its parts.

Part III: Issues and Futures is the final section of the book, which examines issues such as identity and ways of dealing with the complexities and ethical challenges of these liquid technologies. It also examines possible futures, including digital immortality. It begins with *Chapter 9: Digital Ethics* which explores some of the ethical, moral and social issues and dilemmas which virtual humans introduce. It also examines what it means to undertake ethical research in the context of virtual humans and examines issues, such as technical ethics, design ethics, legal issues and social concerns, including honesty, plausibility and the nature of consent. The next chapter, *Chapter 10: Identity and Agency*, explores the notion of virtual humans from a broad perspective by examining studies into identity and agency in virtual reality, immersive environments and virtual worlds.

*Chapter 11: Virtual Humans for Education* examines the current and possible future impact that virtual humans could have on education and analyses the potential impact of virtual humans on education in terms of new developments and uses of virtual humans for guiding and supporting learning. Building on the issues of relationships, *Chapter 12: Digital Immortality* explores the emotional, social, financial and business impact that active digital immortality could have on relations, friends, colleagues and institutions. This chapter presents recent developments in the area of digital immortality, explores how such digital immortals might be created and raises challenging issues but also reflects on the ethical concerns presented in Chapter 9. The final chapter, *Chapter 13: Futures and Possibilities*, considers what the impact of virtual humans might be, and what significant related developments might take place, within three successive time-frames: 2018–2030; 2030–2050; and 2050–2100 and beyond. The chapter then examines how the three main challenges to the developments of virtual humans identified in Chapter 2, namely improving humanness, Artificial General Intelligence (AGI) and Artificial Sentience (AS) might be addressed in order to move from virtual humanoids to 'true' virtual humans, and even virtual sapiens.

This book focusses on the virtual human technologies that are available currently or will be available by 2020. It is not concerned with more speculative concepts, such as brain interfaces and mind uploads, 'evil AIs' and the technological singularity, although some of these will be discussed in Chapter 13. This book is also not concerned with robots, i.e., physical bodies controlled by computer programs. Instead, it is suggested here that any suitably developed virtual human should be able to slip in and out of a robot body in the same way as it might take control of an avatar, and the unique challenges of robotics are fundamentally electro-mechanical ones.

With existing technologies, it is possible to create some form of protovirtual human, a virtual humanoid which can exhibit some of the characteristics of a physical human, and may even deceive people in some areas, but which, as a holistic digital copy of a physical human, falls short.

However, it is only through starting to build and create virtual humans that are more than simple chatbots or personal assistants that researchers, engineers, ethicists, policymakers and even philosophers will be able to investigate and begin to understand the true challenges and opportunities that the creation of fully realistic and perhaps sentient virtual humans might create. If such virtual humans do become a possibility during this current century, then their impact on human society will be immense.

virtualhumans.ai Website

Supporting material for this book, including links to virtual human images, videos, applications and related work and papers, can be found on the website at www.virtualhumans.ai.

The Landscape

### INTRODUCTION

Part I sets the bounds to this book. Many similar terms are used for artefacts, which are, to some greater or lesser extent, virtual or digital versions of a physical human. These range from chatbots, conversational agents and autonomous agents to virtual humans and artificial intelligences. There is also a blurring between the digital and physical versions of virtual humans, the latter being represented by robots and androids. Somewhere between the two sit the digital entities which are linked to specific physical platforms such as Siri and Alexa.

Chapter 1 will consider some of these different manifestations of virtual humans and identify a set of traits which can be used separate virtual humans from other software systems, and to compare and contrast different versions of virtual humans. This leads to a working definition of a virtual human and also to the identification of lower-function virtual humans – termed virtual humanoids, and higher function virtual humans, which are the true equivalent of physical humans and have been termed virtual sapiens. The chapter closes with an examination of several key use cases of virtual humans which will be considered throughout the book, namely those of chatbots, conversational agents and pedagogic agents.

### 2 Virtual Humans

Chapter 2 will consider the broader software landscape within which virtual humans exist. In particular, the chapter will look at the landscape of artificial intelligence, which, in the late 2010s, is a term which is being much abused and being applied to everything from data analytics to self-driving cars. This landscape will be used to help place the concepts of virtual humanoids, virtual humans and virtual sapiens, and to identify the three big challenges facing virtual human development. Chapter 2 will conclude by looking at how virtual humans are represented in the popular media – on film, TV, in books, on the radio, in both computer and roleplaying games and even on stage. Whilst science-fiction cannot give much guidance on how to actually build a virtual human, it can help identify what it would be like to live and work with them, highlight moral and ethical issues, and even give some useful insights into possible ontologies and terminology.

# What Are Virtual Humans?

### INTRODUCTION

Virtual Humans are human-like characters, which may be seen on a computer screen, heard through a speaker, or accessed in some other way. They exhibit human-like behaviours, such as speech, gesture and movement, and might also show other human characteristics, such as emotions, empathy, reasoning, planning, motivation and the development and use of memory. However, a precise definition of what represents a virtual human or even 'artificial intelligence' (AI) is challenging. Likewise, establishing the distinctions between different types of virtual human, such as a chatbot, conversational agent, autonomous agent or pedagogic agent is unclear, as is how virtual humans relate to robots and androids. This chapter presents an introductory analysis of component parts of a virtual humans. It examines the traits that are important when considering virtual humans. It examines existing definitions of a virtual human before developing a practical working definition, and argues for a spectrum of virtual human types, and presents some common examples of virtual humans.

### WHAT IS A VIRTUAL HUMAN

A virtual human is, fundamentally, a computer program. In the far future, it may be something else, but for the foreseeable future, a virtual human is simply code and data which has been designed, and may be evolving, to give the illusion of being human.


FIGURE 1.1 Elements of a virtual human.

Figure 1.1 provides a very basic schema of the possible elements of a virtual human program and its environment. Not all of these elements would be expected to be present in every virtual human (as will be seen, some are very challenging to implement), and not every element needs to be present to the same degree. The diagram will be developed more fully in Part II and all the component parts considered in some detail.

The main elements are:

- A body, which may be a digital avatar or simply a microphone and speaker or text-chat interface
- A set of senses and the ability to detect sensations
- The ability to appraise sensation triggers and respond to them, including showing emotion and changing mood
- The ability to plan to achieve goals, ideally set by some internal motivation
- The ability to reason and problem solve
- The ability to show imagination and creativity

- The ability to communicate in natural human language
- The ability to learn
- The ability to remember and access memories
- The ability to manage all the above, which may be reflected in a personality
- The ability to enact its decisions through taking actions with its 'body'
- Application Programming Interfaces (APIs) to other systems
- An environment in which to exist and interact with

### EXISTING DEFINITIONS OF VIRTUAL HUMAN

There are many definitions of 'virtual human' in the literature, and just a few are considered here. Rickel defines virtual humans as autonomous agents that support face-to face interaction in virtual environments (Rickel et al., 2002). The difficulty with this definition is that it is unclear what an autonomous agent or a virtual environment is, and implies a relatively limited scope of action, and an emphasis on only face-to-face interaction. The definition is more appropriate to a non-player-character within a computer game than recognising the wider and more capable forms that a virtual human could take.

Chatbots.org, a leading hobbyist website on chatbots, identifies 161 different terms for human-like conversational agents (Van Lun, 2011). The website defines virtual humans as automated agents that converse, understand, reason and exhibit emotions. They possess a three-dimensional body and perform tasks through natural language-style dialogues with humans.

This offers a broader range of capabilities for the virtual human, and the explicitness of face-to-face interaction has been replaced by a more general 'natural language' definition and the need to be in a virtual environment has been removed.

Traum defines virtual humans as artificial agents that include both a visual body with a human-like appearance and range of observable behaviours, and a cognitive component that can make decisions and control the behaviours to engage in human-like activities (Traum, 2009).

This definition moves beyond the focus on dialogue and interaction, and highlights that a broader range of human activities and behaviours may be involved.

# FROM SELF-DRIVING CAR TO VIRTUAL HUMAN

A useful way to help consider what is and is not a virtual human is to think of a modern self-driving car. Such a car will have sophisticated sensors (senses), complex decision-making algorithms acting on a sub-second basis and dealing with, ostensibly, moral issues, for example, to stay on course and collide or to swerve and risk hitting a mother and baby. It will also have a high level of autonomy within its domain, for example, the 'driver' chooses where to go, but the car chooses the route and is controlling the steering wheel. However, while the car is unlikely to be seen as a virtual human, it may be called intelligent (indeed, this field of research is often known as 'intelligent vehicles'). The question, though, is what happens if the car is given a natural language interface for defining the route and discussing road conditions with speech input and output? It then appears to be more human, but possibly a long way from David Hasselhoff's KITT car in the Knight Rider TV series. With the addition of some virtual assistant capability, such as access to your diary and emails, and access to the web and Wikipedia, it begins to be more than just a car, and a lot closer to the KITT model. From there, it would be possible to create a personality, emotion and motivation, along with a head-and-shoulders animated avatar on the pop-up screen in the car so that you have a Red Dwarf Holly-like character to whom you can relate and talk. Yet what then changes if an android robot is placed in the driver's seat? It's a purely mechanical device slaved to the car's virtual human-like interface—but there is now not only the issue about whether the car and the character are separate, but also whether the humanness resides in the car or the android. Perhaps, it needs the android to get out of the car and say 'Drive yourself' for us to consider it truly human!

As this example shows, the boundaries between what is and what is not a virtual human are fluid, as is whether it is a good (accurate, effective, useful) or bad (inaccurate, ineffective, useless) virtual human. However, the traits described below should help to identify just how 'virtual human' something is.

# THE TRAITS OF A VIRTUAL HUMAN

In defining a virtual human, it is useful to examine the different traits which could be used to differentiate a virtual human from other forms of computer program that may be either showing intelligence or are based on some human characteristic. In some cases, such traits may relate directly to the elements shown in Figure 1.1, but others may be more holistic. The traits considered here, and often presented as dichotomies, are:

- Is it physical or digital?
- Is it manifest in a visual, auditory or textual form?
- Is it embodied or disembodied?
- Is it humanoid or non-humanoid?
- Does it use natural language or command-driven communication?
- Is it autonomous or controlled?
- Is it emotional or unemotional?
- Does it have a personality?
- Can it reason?
- Can it learn?
- Is it imaginative?
- How self-aware is it?

### Physical or Digital?

The first of these traits is whether the entity is defined by a physical or digital presence. In much popular literature, for example, replicants in *Blade Runner* or Kryten in *Red Dwarf*, physical androids are considered virtual humans. Whilst possessing a presence in some form of humanoid or non-humanoid physical robot body may well be useful at times to a virtual human, the essence of the virtual human is in its digital form. This is particularly salient when, represented as an avatar within a virtual world, it is able to present itself as just as 'human' as any avatar controlled by a physical human.

It should be noted that many authors refer to software-based virtual humans as 'digital humans' (for example, Jones et al., 2015 and Perry, 2014), but the term 'digital humans' is also used in other areas, such as filmmaking (Turchet et al., 2016) and ergonomic design (Keyvani et al., 2013) to refer only to the creation of the 'body' of the virtual human, and not of any higher functions. Hence, there is a preference in this work for the term 'virtual human'.

# Visual, Auditory or Textual?

Having defined the virtual human as essentially a digital construct, it is questionable as to whether it matters how the virtual human is manifest within the digital domain. For example, the virtual human could be presented as a two-dimensional (2D) head-and-shoulders animated avatar (for example, the Sitepal system – www.sitepal.com), as a three-dimensional (3D) avatar within a virtual world, such as 'Second Life' (www.secondlife. com), as a voice on the phone, home speaker or a Skype call, or as a participant within a text-based chat room. It is argued here that the virtual human should be independent of its digital (or physical) manifestation. Indeed, it is the possibility for fluidity between different forms that could be an important capability for the future. However, in order to be recognised as a virtual human, the entity must have some ability to communicate through one of these, or closely related modes. Yet, even the most basic computer program can have a text interface, so having such interfaces is not a sufficient condition to define a virtual human (Figure 1.2).

# Embodied or Disembodied?

Whilst closely linked to the issue of manifestation, there is also the matter of whether the virtual human needs to be embodied, digitally or physically. One belief in cognitive science is that 'intelligence' needs to be embodied (Iida et al., 2004); it needs the sensation, agency and grounding of having a 'body' within a rich and changing environment in order to develop and experience 'intelligence'. Whilst these issues will be discussed in more detail in Chapter 7, there does appear to be a case that an entity which never has some form of embodied manifestation may never be able to become a 'true' virtual human—although it could still be a very smart computer or artificial intellect, a so-called artilect. If being embodied is a requirement of a virtual human, the implication would be that many of the 'virtual human' computers of science fiction (Hal, Orac, J.A.R.V.I.S, Holly) would be better considered as artilects, not virtual humans.



FIGURE 1.2 (a) 2D and (b) 3D virtual human avatar.

#### Humanoid or Non-Humanoid?

The next concern is whether the entity has a humanoid form. Myth and popular literature contains numerous examples of humans able to take on animal and other forms, such as lycanthropes and Native American skin-walkers; so just because a virtual human can represent itself as something other than human does not mean that it cannot be a virtual human. If the entity's core personality, default appearance, actions and thought processes are those of a human, then it should be considered as a virtual human. However, if the entity only ever represented itself in a particular animal form in appearance, deed and thought, then it should be termed a virtual animal.

#### Natural Language or Command-Driven Communication?

A key capability for almost any human is the ability to communicate through 'natural language', be that through voice, signing or other mechanisms. This implies a relatively free-flowing conversation using accepted vocabulary and grammar, and with the ability to track the context of the conversation and make appropriate responses to the utterances of others. Indeed, it is often seen as a sign of mental impairment, whether from mental health problems, such as dementia or a temporary state, like drunkenness, when a person is not able to communicate to this standard. The free-flowing conversation requirement precludes the inclusion systems based on simple command-based exchanges. So, to be considered a virtual human, an entity must be able to do more than merely respond to a set of commands. There are gray areas where commands give way to natural language. Entities such as Siri and Alexa could readily be thought of as virtual humans despite their natural language conversational ability being limited, since the natural, human, inclination towards anthropomorphism can readily see them as 'human'. The Turing Test (Turing, 1950), and related Loebner Prize (Floridi et al., 2009), which will be discussed in more detail in Chapter 2, have become useful ways of evaluating the natural language conversational ability of chatbots. Yet, it is unlikely that having a good natural language capability, as in a good chatbot, is a sufficient condition for being a virtual human, although it may well be a necessary one.

#### Autonomous or Controlled?

Despite some of the current philosophical and neurological debates about freewill (for example, Caruso, 2013), it is generally accepted that a human has autonomy for most practical purposes, although such autonomy is

limited by laws and social, moral, and ethical frameworks. A true virtual human should also therefore be expected to have a similar degree of autonomy and be bound by similar frameworks. Whilst a virtual human may not initially exhibit the same level of autonomy as a physical human, its level of autonomy should still be extensive within the scope of its coding.

A further consideration is how much intrinsic motivation the virtual human possesses. Autonomy often exists within a well-defined set of tasks, for instance, a self-driving car choosing a route and then a more complex set of second-by-second decisions over speed and direction, based on a rapidly evolving and complex environment, need to be made. Once the journey is completed, the car just waits for its next command or possibly drives itself off home to its garage to recharge. A true virtual human, though, should always be operating in a relatively autonomous way. Once it finishes one task, it needs to decide on its next one. Such decisions should be driven by a set of long-term goals, by motivation, as they are in physical humans.

### Emotional or Unemotional?

Demonstrating and responding to emotions is certainly seen in popular culture as being evidence of humanity (for example, the Voight-Kampff test in *Blade Runner*), and the lack of emotions is often taken as an indication of a disturbed or even psychotic personality. Certainly, within the literature (for example, Mell, 2015; Mykoniatis, 2014), the ability for a virtual human to be able to show and respond to emotions is seen as an important feature. One of the key questions is to what extent the virtual human is 'faking it' – does it 'feel' emotion or empathy – or is it just exhibiting the features and responses that we associate with those traits? Often, though, it is the emotional response of the human party to the virtual human's condition that can be just as important (for example, Bouchard et al., 2013). So, if an emotional reaction is elicited in a physical human to a virtual human showing emotion, then do the mechanisms through which that emotion was generated matter? Since surely almost any emotion portrayed on stage or in film is artificial as well?

#### Presence of a Personality?

In considering the possible traits of a virtual human, the word 'personality' is often used. Personality can be defined in a variety of ways, and personality theories include: dispositional (trait) perspective, psychodynamic, humanistic, biological, behaviourist, evolutionary and those based on

social learning. This suggests that a virtual human should also appear to behave, feel and think in a unique and individual way, not identical to any other virtual human (except, possibly, clones of itself) or even to any other physical human. If the virtual human does not show a unique personality, or indeed any personality, then it is possibly not worthy of the term. However, there is again the danger of anthropomorphism, people will quite readily attribute personalities to very obviously non-human objects, from cars to printers, and it is important to be cautious about whether any perceived personality is just being implied by the observer or is actually present within the system.

#### Ability to Reason?

Reasoning here is used to refer to the ability to accept a set of inputs and make a sensible decision based on them. Reasoning can include theories about moral reasoning, as suggested by Kohlberg (1984), as well as models of novice to expert reasoning used in professional education (Benner, 1984). Reasoning is also taken here as including problem-solving, which is a more constrained version of the reasoning ability. At its lowest level within a virtual human, the reasoning may be as simple as identifying that if a website customer has enquired about lighting, then they should be shown all the desks, tables and floor lamps in stock. In a more developed virtual human, it would be expected that the reasoning capability is beginning to match that of a human – in other words, given the same inputs, it will make a similar decision to a human, even though the number of factors, or their relation to the output, might be more complex, or there may be high degrees of uncertainty involved, so called fuzzy or even wicked problems.

#### Can It Learn?

One common definition of an intelligent system is that of having the capacity to learn how to deal with new situations (Sternberg et al., 1981). Intelligence is not so much about having the facts and knowledge to answer questions (a more popular view of what intelligence is), but rather an adaptive ability to cope with new situations, often by applying patterns and knowledge (reason) previously acquired. As such, the ability to learn (in a whole variety of different ways and applied to a whole variety of different situations) must be an important trait for a virtual human.

One of the ultimate goals of AI research is so-called Artificial General Intelligence (discussed in more detail in Chapter 13), a computer system that exhibits a very generalized and human form of such learning and adaptability. For current practical purposes, it could be expected that a virtual human would show some ability to learn and adapt within the scope of its programming.

# Is It Imaginative?

As will be discussed in Chapter 4, there are a lot of computer programs which demonstrate creativity, using parametrics, neural networks, genetic algorithms or other approaches to create pieces of music, paintings, poems or other works of art. There is, however, a difference between creativity and imagination. The imagination trait is more about an internal ability to visualise something, something which may not exist or at least has not been sensed, and perhaps to take an existing trope and change its parameters to create a whole new experience. The 'creative' element is then more about taking this piece of imagination and using craft, skills and 'creativity' to make it manifest and bring it into the social domain. So, the important trait is probably that of imagination, with creativity coming from combining imagination with other traits, such as reasoning (what colour where) and learning (how did I do this last time).

# Sentient or Non-Sentient?

In common discourse, sentience can be viewed as an equivalence of 'thinking': Does the machine have a cognitive function? Is it sentient? There is also some potential overlap with free will and autonomy. A further definition of sentience aligns it with consciousness, but defining that is similarly fraught with problems. Indeed, the question of what consciousness means in terms of the way that we have subjective, phenomenal experiences is often described as the 'hard problem'. Such consciousness implies some form of self-awareness and internal narrative, for example Nagel's 'What Is It Like to Be a Bat?' (Nagel, 1974). Achieving sentience using current technologies is beyond the present capabilities for a virtual human. However, an aspiration to develop some form of internal self-awareness and internal narrative and dialogue would seem to be desirable, and whether that results in, or can enable, some form of true sentience is probably a key philosophical and research question for our times.

# DEMONSTRATING INTELLIGENCE?

It should be noted that in the 10 traits above, 'intelligence' has been deliberately omitted. There is no real agreement as to what an 'intelligent' system is, just as there is no agreement about what counts as human intelligence. Intelligence has been defined as everything from logic to leadership, and from emotional knowledge to problem solving. Indeed Legg and Hutter (2007) list 71 definitions for 'intelligence' and 'artificial intelligence'. What are taken as indicators of intelligence are considered to be well enough covered by a combination of the other traits, particularly learning and reasoning.

### A VIRTUAL HUMAN PROFILE

In Figure 1.3, the 10 traits that have been described above are represented graphically. A high rating for a trait would be marked by a point towards the outer edge of the decagon, and a low rating towards the centre. Joining each point by a line (as shown in Figures 1.4 and 1.5) then provides a radar-plot or spider-diagram style profile for a particular instance or type of virtual human. It should be noted, though, that some traits need to be far more developed than others to be treated as some form of virtual human. For instance, an entity with even a relatively low level of personality, natural-language or emotion is more likely to be taken as a virtual human than one with a very high degree of reasoning or learning ability but which does not show any of the other traits. Almost any level of self-awareness would also put an entity well on the way to being thought of as a virtual human.

It should also be noted that the 'digital or physical' trait described earlier has not been added to the chart as: (a) it is a very binary trait – the



FIGURE 1.3 Traits of virtual humans.

entity is either presenting as pixels on a screen or as a lump of metal or organic material, (b) in defining virtual humans, their digital nature has already been asserted, and (c) any developed virtual human would be able to move in and out of (or rather commandeer) a physical representation, as required.

Just from the examples discussed so far, it is clear that the term 'virtual human' could represent a wide spectrum of capabilities. In its most typical manifestation, a virtual human:

- Manifests itself in a visual, auditory, textual or similar form,
- May have some embodiment within a virtual world,
- Presents itself as primarily humanoid in manifestation and behaviour,
- Will have a natural language capability,
- May exhibit a degree of autonomy,
- May have an ability to express, recognise and respond to emotions,
- May exhibit some aspects of a personality,
- May have some ability to reason in a human-like way,
- May, possibly, exhibit some elements of imagination, and
- May even have a self-narrative, but is unlikely to have any indications of sentience.

# VIRTUAL HUMANOIDS AND VIRTUAL SAPIENS

To aid later analysis, it is perhaps useful to define terms for virtual humans which are biased to the simpler or more complex ends of the spectrum, so that there is a clearer understanding of what is being discussed. Two new terms are proposed.

At the lower, less functional, end of the spectrum is the 'virtual humanoid'. This could be defined as a digital entity which:

- Can manifest itself in a visual, auditory, textual or similar form,
- Need not have any sense of embodiment within a virtual or physical world,
- Is primarily humanoid in manifestation and behaviour,



FIGURE 1.4 Profiles of virtual humanoids (dashed line), virtual sapiens (solid line – effectively the complete edge of the decagon) and virtual humans (the shaded space in between).

- Can respond to commands, but does not have to exhibit a developed natural language capability,
- Does not need to exhibit any autonomy,
- Does not need to express or recognise emotion,
- Does not need to exhibit a (unique) personality most of its personality being implied by anthropomorphism,
- Does not need to show any significant ability to reason,
- Does not need to show any imagination, and
- Does not need to have any indications of internal narrative or sentience.

At the upper (most developed) end is the 'virtual sapien', a digital entity which:

- Can manifest itself in a visual, auditory, textual or similar form,
- Will have embodiment within a virtual or physical world,
- Is primarily humanoid in manifestation and behaviour,

- Has a highly developed natural language capability,
- Exhibits a high degree of autonomy and intrinsic motivation,
- Can express, recognize and respond to emotions,
- Exhibits a unique personality,
- Can reason in a human-like way,
- Exhibits elements of the use of imagination, and
- Has self-awareness and may have some indications of sentience.

The two new definitions are shown as profiles in Figure 1.4, with virtual human as the more overarching term.

It should be noted that the lines between a virtual humanoid, virtual humans (the all-embracing term), and virtual sapiens are significantly blurred, and on many measures, it is a matter of degree rather than of absolutes.

# TOWARDS A WORKING DEFINITION

Whilst such graphics as Figures 1.3 and 1.4 can be valuable tools, it is also useful to have a simple working definition of what a virtual human is. The consideration of personality above – thinking, feeling, and behaving in an individual way – certainly goes some way to providing a more concise working definition:

A virtual human is a digital entity (or perhaps, more generally, a program, algorithm or even a process) which (looks) thinks, feels and behaves like a human.

On this basis, a virtual humanoid could be considered as a digital entity which just looks and, to a certain extent, behaves like a human, whilst virtual sapiens is a digital entity which could pass for a human in an extended unrestricted evaluation.

There is indeed a very strong temptation to choose a behaviouristic definition of a virtual human, typified, perhaps, by Turing's original 'imitation game' (Turing, 1950). Behaviourist definitions have, though, been frequently challenged (for example, Searle, 2014), and Chalmer's arguments about philosophical zombies (Chalmers, 1996) explore similar issues.

So, perhaps, more useful working definitions are:

- *Virtual Humanoids* Simple virtual humans which present, to a limited degree, as human and which may reflect, in a limited way, some of the behaviour, emotion, thinking, autonomy and interaction of a physical human.
- *Virtual Humans* Software programs which present as human, and which may have behaviour, emotion, thinking, autonomy and interaction modelled on physical human capabilities.
- *Virtual Sapiens* Sophisticated virtual humans which achieve similar levels of presentation, behaviour, emotion, thinking, autonomy, interaction, self-awareness and internal narrative to a physical human.

**Note:** The term digital entity has been used above, but perhaps a stricter and more general definition would be an informational entity, as it then avoids the limitation on form that 'digital' (and also program) could imply—for example, ruling out some biological possibilities and even intelligent windows, as in *Permutation City* (Egan, 1994). The term 'infomorph' (Muzyka, 2013) has been used for such an informational entity. The term 'artilect', introduced earlier, would then represent a relatively well developed infomorph.

# EXAMPLES OF VIRTUAL HUMANS

Having set the scope for what represents a virtual human, it is useful to look at some examples of virtual humans placed more at the virtual humanoid end of the spectrum, which can be encountered in today's world. It is also helpful to consider how the terms used for them, such as chatbots, autonomous agents, conversational agents and pedagogical agents, should be understood against the definitions and profiles developed above. Further examples will be considered in Chapter 8.

#### Chatbots

Chatbots is a generic term for describing a piece of software that mimics human conversation. It emphasises the conversational capability but says nothing about any other elements of the virtual human. A system like Siri or Alexa that does not really engage in conversation is probably not even a chatbot, rather being a question-answering or



FIGURE 1.5 Mapping current virtual human types: chatbots (dashed), conversational agents (dotted), and pedagogical agents (solid).

command-taking system, but would fit within the virtual humanoid definition. Importantly, the term chatbot does not imply anything about sentience or intelligence, it is just a system attempting to mimic human conversation.

Chatbots can be thought of as rudimentary pieces of software that aim to create the illusion of a human. They rely on a pre-existing corpus of information that is used to respond to human questions and stimuli. Basic chatbots do not have the ability to identify the progressions of a conversation, nor to adapt responses based on previous answers given by the chatbot or as input by the human user, and nor may they aim to assist in the completion in any particular task. A simple chatbot aims to identify each human input (through pattern matching or keyword searching) and respond with the appropriate answer retrieved from a store of responses with little processing of context. Examples of this kind of chatbot can be found incorporated into toys, such as 'Hello Barbie' (Rhodan, 2015), which allows children to have pseudo conversations with a doll.

#### Autonomous Agents

Autonomous agent is a very broad term that has been used for welldeveloped chatbots, with elements of the virtual human (Bogdanovych, 2005), for massively replicated software entities running in a crowd simulation (Pelechano et al., 2007), and for software programs carrying out very specific but autonomous tasks—for example, stock trading (Subramanian et al., 2006). As such, the use of the term in relation to virtual humans is perhaps best avoided.

#### **Conversational Agents**

Conversational agents (Cassell, 2000) are virtual humans whose task is to provide a conversational interface to a particular application, rather than through command line instructions or the clicking of icons or menu items, for tasks ranging from making travel bookings and buying furniture to interrogating sales and marketing data.

These 'agents' can be represented textually, orally or in conjunction with an avatar (or all three), and, like chatbots, may also exhibit some of the behaviours and characteristics of humans, such as speech, locomotion, gestures and movements of the head, eye or other parts of the body (Dehn and Van Mulken, 2000). However, their role goes beyond simply maintaining a conversation with no particular goal. The level of sophistication of these types of agent can thus determine their utility within differing contexts.

Across the services, manufacturing and raw materials sectors of industry, conversational agents have been used to increase the usability of devices and as methods to assist the retrieval of information. For example, numerous websites have utilised agents as virtual online assistants to improve access to information, such as 'Anna' on the Ikea website or the shopping assistants from H&M or Sephora accessed through the KiK service (KiK Interactive Inc., 2016).

Similarly, smartphone and tablet interfaces now include options to use personal assistant applications such as 'Siri', 'Cortana' or 'Google Now' to assist users in searching for information, starting applications and performing routine tasks, such as sending messages. Other software, such as Amazon's 'Alexa', incorporated into their 'Echo' device, allow the control of various household smart devices such as fridges and heating systems. These agents, while being helpful, do not necessarily have a high level of contextual awareness, and so, whilst being effective at assisting with simple tasks, such as information retrieval or acting on simple commands (for example, Alexa), agents are not always positioned to provide guidance.

Conversational agents are likely to possess a defined goal and set of capabilities. Granting them additional open-ended conversational capabilities, emotions or motivations could be counterproductive for such agents in achieving their goal, and, therefore, damaging to their owner. Most conversational agents are towards the lower end of the virtual humans' spectrum, although they may have well-developed natural language capabilities; they are invariably, but not exclusively, more task orientated than chatbots.

#### Pedagogical Agents

Virtual humans used in education are more commonly referred to as pedagogical agents, or sometimes more narrowly, as virtual tutors. The function of pedagogical agents is to aid learners during the learning process. These agents aim to support learners by providing easier access to relevant information and to improve motivation (for example, Bowman, 2012; Schroeder and Adesope, 2014). As will be discussed later in this book, the sophistication of an agent dictates its role and the types of interactions achievable with humans.

The literature presents a number of examples of pedagogical agents that have been used successfully in learning and educational contexts. However, these have been predominantly focused on agents that may not have an understanding of dialogue or of the progression of conversations between the individual and the agent. Hasler, Touchman and Friedman (2013) found that in a comparison of human interviewees with virtual world pedagogical agents (in non-learning situations), pedagogical agents and human interviewees were equally successful in collecting information about their participants' real-life backgrounds. Pedagogical agents, being neither human interviewees nor text-based surveys, therefore pose an interesting opportunity for the educator seeking to facilitate student discussion.

#### Virtual Mentors

Virtual Humans can have varying levels of perceived understanding of any topic, which can be adequate for low level tasks, such as helping to access databases of knowledge. However, a deeper understanding of the content of any discussion between user and virtual human, combined with increased personalisation options, can allow the virtual human to act as a mentor and provide guidance, rather than merely simple access to information. There are many examples of such virtual mentors, particularly in the mobile space (where the interaction can seem particularly intimate and personal) including Wysa (https://www.wysa.io/) and Woebot (https://woebot.io/).

Figure 1.5 shows how some of these examples map onto the virtual human profile.

# ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE, MACHINE LEARNING AND VIRTUAL HUMANS

There does appear to be a current tendency to attribute any 'clever' computer algorithm to be an example of artificial intelligence. It was IBM's success with Watson in the Jeopardy challenge in 2011 that appears to have started this trend. In popular literature, an AI is usually taken to mean a high-functioning virtual human or other software entity, with signs of sentience – referred to here as 'virtual sapiens'. Media and press reports often suggest that AI is more developed than it actually is, and it is important to be able to distinguish between clever algorithms, some form of well-developed virtual human, virtual sapiens and what might be, ultimately, termed as an artificial sentience This will be considered in more detail in Chapter 2.

Discussions around artificial intelligence often bring in the concept of machine learning and, in particular, neural networks. Machine learning is defined here as a computer system that can learn to make decisions based on the examination of past inputs and results, so that its future decisions optimise some parameter, such as recognising faces in photographs. Whilst a machine learning system could well be *part* of a virtual human, it is certainly insufficient to be a complete one. If the term 'machine learning' is actually used to mean a neural network-based system, then it is probably not a necessary one either.

# CONCLUSION

This chapter has sought to establish the bounds of what is meant by a virtual human and identify some key traits which can be used to identify the differing forms and capabilities of virtual humans. A key point is that a virtual human operates primarily within the digital domain, although it may sometimes have access to a robot body. The term virtual humanoid has been introduced for a low-functioning virtual human (more akin to simple chatbots and conversational agents), and the term virtual sapiens for the highest level of virtual humans that show signs of self-awareness and 'sentience'. Examples of virtual humans in the forms of chatbots, conversational agents, pedagogic agents and virtual mentors have been described.

In Chapter 2, the relationship between virtual humans and 'artificial intelligence' will be explored in more detail, and the ways in which virtual humans have been portrayed in the media and arts will be surveyed to help better understand the public perception of virtual humans.

#### REFERENCES

- Benner, P. (1984). From Novice to Expert: Excellence and Power in Clinical *Practice*. Boston, MA: Addison-Wesley Publishing.
- Bogdanovych, A., Simoff, S., Sierra, C., & Berger, H. (2005). Implicit training of virtual shopping assistants in 3D electronic institutions. In *Proceedings of the IADIS International e-Commerce 2005 Conference*, December 15–17, 50–57, Porto, Portugal: IADIS Press.
- Bouchard, S., Bernier, F., Boivin, E., Dumoulin, S., Laforest, M., Guitard, T., Robillard, G., Monthuy-Blanc, J., & Renaud, P. (2013). Empathy toward virtual humans depicting a known or unknown person expressing pain. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking, 16*(1), 61–71.
- Bowman, C. D. D. (2012). Student use of animated pedagogical agents in a middle school science inquiry program. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 43(3), 359–375.
- Caruso, G. (Ed.). (2013). *Exploring the Illusion of Free Will and Moral Response*. New York: Lexington.
- Cassell, J. (2000). *Embodied Conversational Agents*. Cambridge, MA: MIT press.
- Chalmers, D. J. (1996). *The Conscious Mind: In Search of a Fundamental Theory*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Dehn, D. M., & Van Mulken, S. (2000). The impact of animated interface agents: A review of empirical research. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 52(1), 1–22.
- Egan, G. (1994). Permutation City. London, UK: Orion.
- Floridi, L., Taddeo, M., & Turilli, M. (2009). Turing's imitation game: Still an impossible challenge for all machines and some judges—an evaluation of the 2008 Loebner contest. *Minds and Machines*, *19*(1), 145–150.
- Iida, F., Pfeifer, R., Steels, L., & Kuniyoshi, Y. (Eds.) (2004). Embodied artificial intelligence. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 3139.
- Jones, A., Unger, J., Nagano, K., Busch, J., Yu, X., Peng, H. Y., Alexander, O., Bolas, M., & Debevec, P. (2015). An automultiscopic projector array for interactive digital humans. In ACM SIGGRAPH 2015 Emerging Technologies (SIGGRAPH '15), New York: ACM.
- Hasler, B. S., Tuchman, P., & Friedman, D. (2013). Virtual research assistants: Replacing human interviewers by automated avatars in virtual worlds. *Computers in Human Behavior, 29*, 1608–1616.
- Keyvani, A., Lämkull, D., Bolmsjö, G., & Örtengren, R. (2013). Using methodstime measurement to connect digital humans and motion databases. In International Conference on Digital Human Modeling and Applications in Health, Safety, Ergonomics and Risk Management (pp. 343–352). Berlin, Germany: Springer.
- Kohlberg, L. (1984). The Psychology of Moral Development: The Nature and Validity of Moral Stages (Essays on Moral Development, Volume 2). New York: Harper & Row.

- Legg, S., & Hutter. M. (2007). A collection of definitions of intelligence. *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence and Applications*, 157, 17–24. Available online https://arxiv.org/pdf/0706.3639.pdf.
- Mell, J. (2015). Toward social-emotional virtual humans. In *Proceedings of the* 2015 International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems. Istanbul, Turkey: International Foundation for Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems.
- Muzyka, K. (2013). The outline of personhood law regarding artificial intelligences and emulated human entities. *Journal of Artificial General Intelligence*, 4(3), 164–169.
- Mykoniatis, K., Angelopoulou, A., Proctor, M. D., & Karwowski, W. (2014). Virtual humans for interpersonal and communication skills' training in crime investigations. In Shumaker R., and Lackey S. (Eds.) Virtual, Augmented and Mixed Reality. Designing and Developing Virtual and Augmented Environments (pp. 282–292). VAMR 2014. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol 8525. Cham, Switzerland: Springer.
- Nagel, T. (1974). What is it like to be a bat? *The Philosophical Review*, 83(4), 435-450.
- Pelechano, N., Allbeck, J. M., & Badler, N. I. (2007). Controlling individual agents in high-density crowd simulation. In *Proceedings of the 2007 ACM SIGGRAPH/Eurographics Symposium on Computer Animation* (pp. 99–108). Aire-la-Ville, Switzerland: Eurographics Association.
- Perry, T. (2014). Leaving the uncanny valley behind. *IEEE Spectrum*, 51(6), 48-53.
- Rickel, J., Marsella, S., Gratch, J., Hill, R., Traum, D., & Swartout, W. (2002). Toward a new generation of virtual humans for interactive experiences. *IEEE Intelligent Systems*, 17(4), 32–38.
- Rhodan, M. (2015). This advocacy group is saying 'Hell No' to 'Hello Barbie'. *Time*. Available online http://time.com/4093660/barbie-hell-no/.
- Schroeder, N. L., & Adesope, O.O. (2014). A systematic review of pedagogical agents' persona, motivation, and cognitive load implications for learners. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, 46(3), 229–251.
- Searle, J. R. (2014). Introduction: Addressing the hard problem. Journal of Integrative Neuroscience, 13(2), 7–11.
- Sternberg, R. J., Conway, B. E., Ketron, J. L., & Bernstein, M. (1981). People's conceptions of intelligence. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 41(1), 37–55.
- Subramanian, H., Ramamoorthy, S., Stone, P., & Kuipers, B. J. (2006). Designing safe, profitable automated stock trading agents using evolutionary algorithms. In GECCO '06 Proceedings of the 8th Annual Conference on Genetic and Evolutionary Computation (pp. 1777–1784). (GECCO '06). New York: ACM.
- Traum., D. (2009). Models of culture for virtual human conversation. In C. Stephanidis (Ed.). *Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Universal Access in Human-Computer Interaction. Part III: Applications and Services* (UAHCI '09), Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag.

- Turchet, F., Romeo, M., & Fryazinov, O. (2016). Physics-aided editing of simulation-ready muscles for visual effects. In ACM SIGGRAPH 2016 Posters (p. 80). ACM.
- Turing, A. M. (1950). Computing machinery and intelligence. *Mind*, 59(236), 433-460.
- Van Lun, E., (2011). 161 Humanlike conversational AI synonyms. Chatbots.org. Available online https://www.chatbots.org/synonyms/.

# What Are Virtual Humans?

Benner, P. (1984). From Novice to Expert: Excellence and Power in Clinical Practice. Boston, MA: Addison-Wesley Publishing.

Bogdanovych, A., Šimoff, S., Šierra, C., & Berger, H. (2005). Implicit training of virtual shopping assistants in 3D electronic institutions. In Proceedings of the IADIS International e-Commerce 2005 Conference, December 1507, 5057, Porto, Portugal: IADIS Press. Bouchard, S., Bernier, F., Boivin, E., Dumoulin, S., Laforest, M., Guitard, T., Robillard, G., Monthuy-Blanc, J., & Renaud, P. (2013). Empathy toward virtual humans depicting a known or unknown person expressing pain. Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking, 16(1), 6171.

Bowman, C. D. D. (2012). Student use of animated pedagogical agents in a middle school science inquiry program. British Journal of Educational Technology, 43(3), 359B75. Caruso, G. (Ed.). (2013). Exploring the Illusion of Free Will and Moral Response. New York:

Lexington. Cassell, J. (2000). Embodied Conversational Agents. Cambridge, MA: MIT press. Chalmers, D. J. (1996). The Conscious Mind: In Search of a Fundamental Theory. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.

Dehn, D. M., & Van Mulken, S. (2000). The impact of animated interface agents: A review of empirical research. International Journal of Human-Computer Studies, 52(1), 122.

Egan, G. (1994). Permutation City. London, UK: Orion.

Floridi, L. , Taddeo, M. , & Turilli, M. (2009). Turing imitation game: Still an impossible challenge for all machines and some judges h evaluation of the 2008 Loebner contest. Minds and Machines, 19(1), 145 Ib0.

lida, F. , Pfeifer, R. , Steels, L. , & Kuniyoshi, Y. (Eds.) (2004). Embodied artificial intelligence. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 3139.

Jones, A., Unger, J., Nagano, K., Busch, J., Yu, X., Peng, H. Y., Alexander, O., Bolas, M., & Debevec, P. (2015). An automultiscopic projector array for interactive digital humans. In ACM SIGGRAPH 2015 Emerging Technologies (SIGGRAPH ID), New York: ACM.

Hasler, B. S. , Tuchman, P. , & Friedman, D. (2013). Virtual research assistants: Replacing human interviewers by automated avatars in virtual worlds. Computers in Human Behavior, 29, 16081616.

Keyvani, A., Lmkull, D., BolmsjDG., & filengren, R. (2013). Using methods-time measurement to connect digital humans and motion databases. In International Conference on Digital Human Modeling and Applications in Health, Safety, Ergonomics and Risk Management (pp. 343852). Berlin, Germany: Springer.

Kohlberg, L. (1984). The Psychology of Moral Development: The Nature and Validity of Moral Stages (Essays on Moral Development, Volume 2). New York: Harper & Row.

23 Legg, S. , & Hutter. M. (2007). A collection of definitions of intelligence. Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence and Applications, 157, 1724. Available online https://arxiv.org/pdf/0706.3639.pdf. Mell, J. (2015). Toward social-emotional virtual humans. In Proceedings of the 2015

International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems. Istanbul, Turkey: International Foundation for Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems.

Muzyka, K. (2013). The outline of personhood law regarding artificial intelligences and emulated human entities. Journal of Artificial General Intelligence, 4(3), 164169.

Mykoniatis, K., Angelopoulou, A., Proctor, M. D., & Karwowski, W. (2014). Virtual humans for interpersonal and communication skills@raining in crime investigations. In Shumaker R., and Lackey S. (Eds.) Virtual, Augmented and Mixed Reality. Designing and Developing Virtual and Augmented Environments (pp. 282092). VAMR 2014. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol 8525. Cham, Switzerland: Springer.

Nagel, T. (1974). What is it like to be a bat? The Philosophical Review, 83(4), 435450. Pelechano, N., Allbeck, J. M., & Badler, N. I. (2007). Controlling individual agents in highdensity crowd simulation. In Proceedings of the 2007 ACM SIGGRAPH/Eurographics Symposium on Computer Animation (pp. 99108). Aire-la-Ville, Switzerland: Eurographics Association.

Perry, T. (2014). Leaving the uncanny valley behind. IEEE Spectrum, 51(6), 485B.

Rickel, J., Marsella, S., Gratch, J., Hill, R., Traum, D., & Swartout, W. (2002). Toward a new generation of virtual humans for interactive experiences. IEEE Intelligent Systems, 17(4), 3288. Rhodan, M. (2015). This advocacy group is saying Hell NoItb Hello Barbie Time. Available online http://time.com/4093660/barbie-hell-no/.

Schroeder, N. L., & Adesope, O.O. (2014). A systematic review of pedagogical agents persona, motivation, and cognitive load implications for learners. Journal of Research on Technology in Education, 46(3), 229251. Searle, J. R. (2014). Introduction: Addressing the hard problem. Journal of Integrative Neuroscience, 13(2), 7 🖽.

Sternberg, R. J., Conway, B. E., Ketron, J. L., & Bernstein, M. (1981). People Conceptions of intelligence. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 41(1), 3765. Subramanian, H., Ramamoorthy, S., Stone, P., & Kuipers, B.J. (2006). Designing safe, profitable automated stock trading agents using evolutionary algorithms. In GECCO D6 Proceedings of the 8th Annual Conference on Genetic and Evolutionary Computation (pp. 17770784). (GECCO D6). New York: ACM.

Traum., D. (2009). Models of culture for virtual human conversation. In C. Stephanidis (Ed.). Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Universal Access in Human-Computer Interaction. Part III: Applications and Services (UAHCI @), Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag. 24 Turchet, F., Romeo, M., & Fryazinov, O. (2016). Physics-aided editing of simulation-ready muscles for visual effects. In ACM SIGGRAPH 2016 Posters (p. 80), ACM.

Turing, A. M. (1950). Computing machinery and intelligence. Mind, 59(236), 433460. Van Lun, E. , (2011). 161 Humanlike conversational AI synonyms. Chatbots.org. Available online https://www.chatbots.org/synonyms/.

# Virtual Humans and Artificial Intelligence

Adams, D. (1978). Hitchhiker&Guide to the Galaxy [Radio series]. London, UK: BBC. Alexander, J. (2017). Blade Runner 2049 continues questionable trend of the algorithm-defined fantasy girl@Polygon. Available online https://www.polygon.com/2017/10/11/16455282/bladerunner-2049-analysis-ana-de-armas-fantasy-girl.

Aubuchon, R., & Moore, R. D. (Creators). (2010). Caprica [TV Series]. New York: Syfy.

Ballantyne, T. (2004). Recursion. London, UK: Macmillan.

Ballantyne, T. (2005). Capacity. London, UK: Macmillan.

Ballantyne, T. (2007). Divergence. London, UK: Macmillan.

Berman, R. (1995). Star Trek: Voyager [TV series]. Los Angeles, CA: CBS Television.

Bradeko, L., & Mladeni D. (2012). A survey of chatbot systems through a Loebner prize

competition. In Proceedings of Slóvenian Lánguage Technologies Society Eighth Conference of Language Technologies (pp. 3487). Ljubljana, Slovenia: Institut Joef Stefan.

Brooker, C. , & Harris, O . (2013). Be Right Back. Black Mirror [TV Episode]. Westminster, UK: Channel 4.

Broughton, M . et al. (Creators), & Dromgoole, J. (Producer). (2009). Planet B [Radio series]. London, UK: BBC.

Bungie . (2001). Halo [Computer Game]. Bellevue, WA: Microsoft.

Cameron, J. (Writer & Director), & Hurd, G. (Producer). (1984). The Terminator [Motion picture]. Los Angeles, CA: Orion Pictures.

Carbonneau, M. (Director & Creator), & Dauphinais, L. (Creator). (2015). Siri [Play]. Canada. Egan, G. (1994). Permutation City. London, UK: Orion.

Egan, G. (1997). Diaspora. London, UK: Orion.

Epstein, R., Roberts, G., & Beber, G. (Eds.). (2009). Parsing the Turing Test. Dordrecht, the Netherlands: Springer.

Favreau, J. (Director), & Fergus, M. et al. (Screenplay), & Arad, A. (Producer). (2008). Iron Man [Motion Picture]. Los Angeles, CA: Paramount Pictures.

Garland, A. (Writer & Director), & Macdonald, A. (Producer). (2015). Ex Machina [Motion picture]. London, UK: Universal Pictures.

Garner, R. (Writer), & Dorsen, A. (Director). (2011). Hello, Hi There [Play]. United States. Gibson, W. (1996). Idoru. New York: Viking Press.

Harari, Y. N. (2017). Life 3.0 by Max Tegmark review two are ignoring the AI apocalypse. The Guardian. Available online https://www.theguardian.com/books/2017/sep/22/life-30-max-tegmark-review.

44 Hendler, J. A. (2008). Avoiding another AI winter. IEEE Intelligent Systems, Vol. 23, No. 2, 24

Jonze, S. (Director, Writer & Producer), & Ellison, M. (Producer). (2013). Her [Motion picture]. Burbank, CA: Warner Bros.

Kubrick, S. (Director & Producer & Screenplay), & Clarke, A. C. (Screenplay). (1968). 2001 [Motion picture]. Los Angeles, CA: Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer.

Lea, A. (2017). Beware Fake Al! Linked-IN web site. Available online https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/beware-fake-ai-andrew-lea.

Mateas, M., & Stern, A. (Designers). (2005). Faāde [Computer game]. Pittsburgh, PA. Mori, M. (1970). The uncanny valley. Energy, 7(4), 3385.

Nation, T. (Creator), & Maloney, D (Producer). (1978). Blakes Seven [TV series]. London, UK: BBC1.

Naylor, G. (Creators), & Bye, E. (Director & Producer). (1988). Red Dwarf [TV series]. Birmingham, UK: BBC 2.

Newton, S. , & Snead, J. (2016). Mindjammer: Transhuman Adventure in the Second Age of Space [Role-playing game]. Harlow, UK: Mindjammer Press Ltd.

Pennachin, C., & Goertzel, B. (2007). Contemporary approaches to artificial general

intelligence. In B. Goertzel & C. Pennachin (Eds.), Artificial General Intelligence (Vol. 2). New York: Springer.

Powers, D. M. (1998). The total Turing test and the Loebner prize. In Proceedings of the Joint Conferences on New Methods in Language Processing and Computational Natural Language Learning (pp. 2792B0). Stroudsburg, PA: Association for Computational Linguistics.

Pulver, D. L. (Designer). (2002). Transhuman Space [Role-playing game]. Austin, TX: Steve Jackson Games.

Reynolds, A. (2012). Blue Remembered Earth. London, UK: Gollancz.

Reynolds, A. (2013). On the Steel Breeze. London, UK: Gollancz.

Reynolds, A. (2015). Poseidon SWake. London, UK: Gollancz.

Saygin, A.P. , Cicekli, I. , & Akman, V. (2000). Turing test: 50 years later. Minds and Machines, 10(4), 463518.

Soloski, A. (2011, 28 January). No actors. Just robots. Call this a play? The Guardian. Available online https://www.theguardian.com/stage/theatreblog/2011/jan/28/no-actors-robots-play-theatre.

Stephenson, N. (1992). Snow Crash. New York: Bantam Books.

SummerhalITV. (2017). Laurence Dauphinais & Maxime Carbonneau: SIRI. SummerhalITV. Available online http://www.summerhall.tv/2017/laurence-dauphinais-maxime-carbonneau-siri/. Turing, A. M. (1950). Computing machinery and intelligence. Mind, 59(236), 433260.

Villeneuve, D. (Director), Fancher, H. (Screenplay), Kosove, A. et al. (Producers). (2017). Blade Runner 2049 [Motion Picture]. Burbank, CA: Warner Bros.

Vincent, S. , & Brackley, J. (Creators & Producers). (2015). Humans [TV series]. Westminster, UK: Channel 4.

# **Body and Senses**

Adabala, N. (2004). Rendering of skin and clothes. In Magnenat-Thalmann, N. & Thalmann D. (Eds.), Handbook of Virtual Humans. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons. 353B72.) Agulla, E. G., Riffi, L. A., Castro, J. L. A., & Mateo, C. G. (2008, July). Is my student at the other side? Applying biometric web authentication to e-learning environments. In Advanced Learning Technologies, 2008. ICALTOB. Eighth IEEE International Conference on (pp. 551653). New York: IEEE.

Aliaga, C., OBullivan, C., Gutierrez, D., & Tamstorf, R. (2015). Sackcloth or silk?: the impact of appearance vs dynamics on the perception of animated cloth. In Proceedings of the ACM SIGGRAPH Symposium on Applied Perception (pp. 4146). New York: ACM.

Balas, B. , & Pacella, J . (2015). Artificial faces are harder to remember. Computers in Human Behavior, 52, 331837.

Balcan, M. F., Blum, A., Choi, P. P., Lafferty, J., Pantano, B., Rwebangira, M. R., & Zhu, X. (2005, August). Person identification in webcam images: An application of semi-supervised learning. In ICML 2005 Workshop on Learning with Partially Classified Training Data (Vol. 2, p. 6).

Bartley, J., Forsyth, J., Pendse, P., Xin, D., Brown, G., Hagseth, P., & Hammond, T. (2013). World of workout: A contextual mobile RPG to encourage long term fitness. In Proceedings of the Second ACM SIGSPATIAL International Workshop on the Use of GIS in Public Health (pp. 6067). New York: ACM. Available online

http://healthgis.tamu.edu/ACMHealthGIS/2013/healthgis-09.pdf (Last accessed December 22, 2015).

66 Bénoit, A., Bonnaud, L., Caplier, A., Ngo, P., Lawson, L., Trevisan, D. G., & Chanel, G. (2009). Multimodal focus attention and stress detection and feedback in an augmented driver simulator. Personal and Ubiquitous Computing, 13(1), 3341.

Bertails, F. , Audoly, B. , Cani, M. P. , Querleux, B. , Leroy, F. , & Lizhue, J. L. (2006). Superhelices for predicting the dynamics of natural hair. In ACM Transactions on Graphics, 25(3), 11801187.

Boulic, R., Thalmann, N. M., & Thalmann, D. (1990). A global human walking model with realtime kinematic personification. The Visual Computer, 6(6), 344858.

Brooke, E. (2014). B Startups Trying To Help You Find Clothing That Fits Ion the Fashionista blog (July 22, 2014). Available online http://fashionista.com/2014/07/8-tech-startups-tackling-clothing-fit.

Cao, Y., Tien, W. C., Faloutsos, P., & Pighin, F. (2005). Expressive speech-driven facial animation. ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG), 24(4), 1283IB02.

Cassell, J., Vilhjlinsson, H. H., & Bickmore, T. (2004). BEAT: The behavior expression animation toolkit. In Cassell, J., Highi, H., Bickmore, T. (Eds.), Life-Like Characters. (pp. 163IB5). Berlin, Germany: Springer.

Cirio, G., Lopez-Moreno, J., Miraut, D., & Otaduy, M. A. (2014). Yarn-level simulation of woven cloth. ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG), 33(6), 207.

Daldegan, A. , Thalmann, N. M. , Kurihara, T. , & Thalmann, D. (1993). An integrated system for modeling, animating and rendering hair. Computer Graphics Forum, 12(3), 211221.

Daubert, K., Lensch, H. P. A., Heidrich, W., & Seidel, H. P. (2001) Efficient cloth modeling and rendering. In S. J. Gortler & K. Myszkowski . (Eds.), Rendering Techniques. (pp. 63/20). Eurographics. Vienna, Austria: Springer.

De, A., & Saha, A. (2015, March). A comparative study on different approaches of real time human emotion recognition based on facial expression detection. In Computer Engineering and Applications (ICACEA), 2015 International Conference on Advances in (pp. 4834B7). New York: IEEE.

De Mantaras, R. L., & Arcos, J. L. (2002). Al and music: From composition to expressive performance. Al magazine, 23(3), 43.

Daz-Zuccarini, V., Thiel, R., & Stroetmann, V. (2014). The European virtual physiological human initiative. In M. RosenmIler., D. Whitehouse & P. Wilson. (Eds.), Managing EHealth: From Vision to Reality (pp. 244258). London, UK: Palgrave Macmillan.

Dufaux, A., Besacier, L., Ansorge, M., & Pellandini, F. (2000, September). Automatic sound detection and recognition for noisy environment. In Signal Processing Conference, 2000 10th European (pp. 14). New York: IEEE.

Ekenel, H. K., Stallkamp, J., Gao, H., Fischer, M., & Stiefelhagen, R. (2007, July). Face recognition for smart interactions. In Multimedia and Expo, 2007 IEEE International Conference on (pp. 1007ID10). New York: IEEE.

Ezzat, T. , Geiger, G. , & Poggio, T. (2002). Trainable videorealistic speech animation. ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG), 21(3), 388898.

67 Fan, S., Ng, T.-T., Herberg, J., Koenig, B. L., & Xin, S. (2012). Real or fake?: Human judgments about photographs and computer-generated images of faces. Proceedings of SIGGRAPH Asia 2012 Technical Briefs, 17(18).

Farid, H., & Bravo, M. J. (2012). Perceptual discrimination of computer generated and photographic faces. Digital Investigation, 8(3), 226/235.

Frīncu, M., & Moldoveanu, F. (2015). Virtual try on systems for clothes: Issues and solutions. UPB Scientific Bulletin, Series C, 77(4), 3144.

Hadap, S. , & MagnenatThalmann, N. (2001). Modeling dynamic hair as a continuum. In Computer Graphics Forum, 20(3), 329BB8.

Kofrifiek, J., Matejki M., Privitzer, P., Tribula, M., Kulhfiek, T., Silar, J., & Pecinovsk R. (2013). HumMod-golem edition: Large scale model of integrative physiology for virtual patient simulators. Proceedings of World Congress in Computer Science 2013 (WORLDCOMPIB), International Conference on Modeling, Simulation and Visualisation Methods (MSVIB) 182IB8. Kramar, V., Korhonen, M., & Sergeev, Y. (2013). Particularities of visualisation of medical and wellness data through a digital patient avatar. In Open Innovations Association (FRUCT), 2013 14th Conference of (pp. 4556). IEEE.

Kshirsagar, S., Egges, A., & Garchery, S. (2004). Expressive speech animation and facial communication. In Magnenat-Thalmann, N. & Thalmann D. (Eds.), Handbook of virtual Humans (pp 230259). Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons.

LeBlanc, A. M. , Turner, R. , & Thalmann, D. (1991). Rendering hair using pixel blending and shadow buffers. Computer Animation and Virtual Worlds, 2(3), 92<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>.

Lin, Y. C. , Yang, Y. H. , & Chen, H. H. (2011). Exploiting online music tags for music emotion classification. ACM Transactions on Multimedia Computing, Communications, and Applications (TOMM), 7(1), 26.

Loper, M., Mahmood, N., Romero, J., Pons-Moll, G., & Black, M. J. (2015). SMPL: A skinned multi-person linear model. ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG), 34(6), 248.

Lopez, C. (2014). Latest Mirtual Battle Space Delease adds realism to scenarios, avatars on www.army.mil Available online

http://www.army.mil/article/123316/Latest\_Virtual\_Battle\_Space\_release\_adds\_realism\_to\_s

cenarios\_\_avatars/?from=RSS.

Lozano-Monasor, E., Lpez, M. T., Fernfidez-Caballero, A., & Vigo-Bustos, F. (2014). Facial expression recognition from webcam based on active shape models and support vector machines. In Ambient Assisted Living and Daily Activities (pp. 147154). London, UK: Springer International Publishing.

Lu, H., Pan, W., Lane, N. D., Choudhury, T., & Campbell, A. T. (2009, June). SoundSense: Scalable sound sensing for people-centric applications on mobile phones. In Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Mobile Systems, Applications, and Services (pp. 165078). New York: ACM.

MacDorman, K. F., Green, R. D., Ho, C. C., & Koch, C. T. (2009). Too real for comfort? Uncanny responses to computer generated faces. Computers in Human Behavior, 25(3), 695/210.

68 Miguel, E., Feng, A., Xu, Y., Shapiro, A., Tamstorf, R., Bradley, D., Schvartzman, S. C., & Marschner, S. (2014). Towards cloth-manipulating characters. Computer Animation and Social Agents, 3, 1.

Mori, M. (1970). The uncanny valley. Energy, 7(4), 3385.

Nagano, K., Fyffe, G., Alexander, O., Barbi D., Li, H., Ghosh, A., & Debevec, P. E. (2015). Skin microstructure deformation with displacement map convolution. ACM Transactions on Graphics, 34(4), 109II1.

Patrick, D., Bangay, S., & Lobb, A. (2004). Modelling and rendering techniques for African hairstyles. In Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Computer Graphics, Virtual Reality, Visualisation and Interaction in Africa, New York: ACM. 115024.

Pfister, T., Li, X., Zhao, G., & Pietiklinen, M. (2011, November). Recognising spontaneous facial micro-expressions. In Computer Vision (ICCV), 2011 IEEE International Conference on (pp. 1449II456). New York: IEEE.

Poh, M. Z., McDuff, D. J., & Picard, R. W. (2011). Advancements in noncontact, multiparameter physiological measurements using a webcam. IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering, 58(1), 7 🕮.

Pons-Moll, G. , Romero, J. , Mahmood, N. , & Black, M. J. (2015). Dyna: A model of dynamic human shape in motion. ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG), 34(4), 120.

Ramirez, G., Fuentes, O., Crites, S. L., Jimenez, M., & Ordonez, J. (2014, June). Color analysis of facial skin: Detection of emotional state. In Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshops (CVPRW), 2014 IEEE Conference on (pp. 474@79). New York: IEEE. Rosenblum, R. E., Carlson, W. E., & Tripp, E. (1991). Simulating the structure and dynamics of human hair: Modelling, rendering and animation. Computer Animation and Virtual Worlds, 2(4), 141@48.

Sattler, M., Sarlette, R., & Klein, R. (2003). Efficient and realistic visualization of cloth. In S. J. Gortler & K. Myszkowski. (Eds.), Rendering Techniques (pp. 167078). Eurographics. Vienna, Austria: Springer.

Seo, H. (2004). Anthropometric Body Modelling Handbook of Virtual Humans. (pp. 7598). Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons.

Sintorn, E., & Assarsson, U. (2008). Real-time approximate sorting for self shadowing and transparency in hair rendering. In Proceedings of the 2008 symposium on Interactive 3D graphics and games (pp. 157II62) New York: ACM.

Staples, A. (2012). Subsurface scattering: Skin shaders for Poser 9/Poser Pro. Penultimate Harn website. Available online

http://www.penultimateharn.com/3dgallery/SubsurfaceScattering01.pdf.

Stowell, D. , Wood, M. , Stylianou, Y. , & Glotin, H. (2016). Bird detection in audio: A survey and a challenge. arXiv preprint arXiv:1608.03417.

Stuart, K. (2015). PhotorealismThe future of video game visuals, Guardian website (February 12, 2015). Available online http://www.theguardian.com/technology/2015/feb/12/future-of-video-gaming-visuals-nvidia-rendering

Tan, X., Li, Y., Liu, J., & Jiang, L. (2010). Face liveness detection from a single image with sparse low rank bilinear discriminative model. In Computer VisionECCV 2010 (pp. 504517). Berlin, Germany: Springer.

69 Tolani, D. , Goswami, A. , & Badler, N. I. (2000). Real-time inverse kinematics techniques for anthropomorphic limbs. Graphical Models, 62(5), 353BB8.

UCL. (2015). The virtual physiological human. Available online

https://www.ucl.ac.uk/news/vph.doc.

Visage. (2012). MPEG-4 Face and body animation (MPEG-4 FBA) an overview. Available online http://www.visagetechnologies.com/uploads/2012/08/MPEG-4FBAOverview.pdf. Volino, P., & Cordier, F. (2004). Dloth simulation The Handbook of Virtual Humans (pp. 1920). Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons.

Widmer, G. (1994, October). The synergy of music theory and AI: Learning multi-level expressive interpretation. In Proceedings of the National Conference on Artificial Intelligence (pp. 114II14). Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

Wang, A. (2003, October). An industrial strength audio search algorithm. In Ismir (Vol. 2003, pp. 7 $\mathbb{IB}$ ). Canada.

Ward, K. , Bertails, F. , Kim, T. Y. , Marschner, S. R. , Cani, M. P. , & Lin, M. C. (2007). A survey on hair modeling: Styling, simulation, and rendering. IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics, 13(2), 213234.

Wu, K., & Yuksel, C. (2017). Real-time fiber-level cloth rendering. In Proceedings of the 21st ACM SIGGRAPH Symposium on Interactive 3D Graphics and Games. New York: ACM. Yang, Y. H., & Chen, H. H. (2011). Ranking-based emotion recognition for music organization

And retrieval. IEEE Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing, 19(4), 762/274. Yang, R., Li, D., Hu, Y., Liu, J., & Hu, D. (2015). Rapid skin deformation algorithm of the three-dimensional human body. 7th International Conference on Intelligent Human-Machine Systems and Cybernetics (pp. 244/247) Hangzhou, China.

Zhang, M., Lin, L., Pan, Z., & Xiang, N. (2015). Topology-independent 3D garment fitting for virtual clothing. Multimedia Tools and Applications, 74(9), 31378153.

# Mind

Ackerman, M., Goel, A., Johnson, C. G., Jordanous, A., Len, C., y Prez, R. P., & Ventura, D. (2017). Teaching computational creativity. In Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Computational Creativity (pp. 916). Atlanta.

Adam, C., & Lorini, E. (2014). A BDI emotional reasoning engine for an artificial companion. In: Corchado J. M. et al. (Eds.), Highlights of Practical Applications of Heterogeneous Multi-Agent Systems. The PAAMS Collection. PAAMS 2014. Communications in Computer and Information Science (vol. 430). Cham, Switzerland: Springer.

Agero, C. E., Martfil, F., Rubio, L., & Caas, J. M. (2012). Comparison of smart visual attention mechanisms for humanoid robots. International Journal of Advanced Robotic Systems, 9(6), 233.

Aguilar, R. A., de Antonio, A., & Imbert, R. (2007). Emotional Agents with team roles to support human group training. In Pelachaud, C., Martin, J. C., Andr E., Chollet, G., Karpouzis, K., & Pel D. (Eds.), Intelligent Virtual Agents. IVA 2007. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, (pp. 352853). vol 4722. Berlin, Germany: Springer.

Andriamasinoro, F. (2004). Modeling natural motivations into hybrid artificial agents. In Fourth International ICSC Symposium on Engineering of Intelligent Systems (EIS 2004).

Anthony, B., Majid, M. A., & Romli, A. (2017). Application of intelligent agents and case based reasoning techniques for green software development. Technics Technologies Education Management, 12(1), 30.

Baddeley, A. (2012). Working memory: Theories, models, and controversies. Annual Review of Sychology, 63, 129.

Becker-Asano, C. (2014). WASABI for affect simulation in human-computer interaction. In Proceedings of the International Workshop on Emotion Representations and Modelling for HCI Systems (pp. 11D). Istanbul: ACM.

Belbin, R. M. (2012). Team Roles at Work. London, UK: Routledge.

Berners-Lee, T., Hendler, J., & Lassila, O. (2001). The semantic web. Scientific American, 284(5), 2857. Available online http://web.cs.miami.edu/home/saminda/csc688/tblSW.pdf.
Blair, M. (2016). Crossroads of boulez and cage: Automatism in music. Available online https://mdsoar.org/bitstream/handle/11603/3751/Verge13\_BlairMark.pdf?sequence=1.
Boden, M. A. (1998). Creativity and artificial intelligence. Artificial Intelligence, 103(12), 347856.
92 Bogdanovych, A., Trescak, T., & Simoff, S. (2015). Formalising believability and building believable virtual agents. In Chalup, S. K., Blair, A. D., & Randall, M. (Eds.), Artificial Life and Computational Intelligence. ACALCI 2015. Lecture Notes in Computer Science (vol. 8955, pp. 142156). Cham, Switzerland: Springer.

Borji, A., Sihite, D. N., & Itti, L. (2012). Modeling the influence of action on spatial attention in visual interactive environments. Robotics and Automation (ICRA), 2012 IEEE International Conference on (pp. 444050). IEEE.

Breazeal, C. (2004). Designing Sociable Robots. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Bredeche, N., Montanier, J. M., Liu, W., & Winfield, A. F. (2012). Environment-driven distributed evolutionary adaptation in a population of autonomous robotic agents. Mathematical and Computer Modelling of Dynamical Systems, 18(1), 101II29.

Bringsjord, S. , & Ferrucci, D . (1999). Artificial Intelligence and Literary Creativity: Inside the Mind of Brutus, A Storytelling Machine. London, UK: Psychology Press.

Cattell, R. B. , Eber, H. W. , & Tatsuoka, M. M. (1970). Handbook for the 16PF. Champaign, IL: IPAT.

Cid, F., Moreno, J., Bustos, P., & Nez, P. (2014). Muecas: A multi-sensor robotic head for affective human robot interaction and imitation. Sensors, 14(5), 7711/2737.

Cohen, P. R. , & Feigenbaum, E. A. (Eds.), (2014). The Handbook of Artificial Intelligence (Vol. 3). Oxford, UK: Butterworth-Heinemann.

Colton, S. & Wiggins, G. A. (2012). Computational creativity: The final frontier? In de Raedt, L., Bessiere, C., Dubois, D., & Doherty, P. (Eds)., Proceeding ECAI Frontiers (pp. 2116). Amsterdam, the Netherlands: IOS Press.

Davis, E. , & Morgenstern, L . (2004). Introduction: Progress in formal common sense reasoning. Artificial Intelligence, 153(1), 1II2.

Doce, T., Dias, J., Prada, R., & Paiva, A. (2010). Creating individual agents through personality traits. 10th International Conference on Intelligent Virtual Agents (pp. 257/264). Berlin, Germany: Springer.

Ekman, P. (1989). The argument and evidence about universals in facial expressions of emotion. In H. Wagner & A. Manstead (Eds.), Handbook of Social Psychophysiology (pp. 143L64). Chichester, UK: Wiley.

Frith, C., & Frith, U. (2005). Theory of mind. Current Biology, 15(17), R644B645.

Gaut, B. , & Livingstone, P. (Eds). (2003) The Creation of Art: New Essays in Philosophical Aesthetics. Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press.

Gervs P. (2018). Computer-driven creativity stands at the forefront of artificial intelligence and its potential impact on literary composition. AC/E Digital Culture Annual Report.: Digital Trends in Culture. Focus: Readers in the Digital Age, 88.

Goldman, A. I. (2012). Theory of mind. In E. Margolis. , R. Samuels. , & S. P. Stich (Eds.), The Oxford Handbook of Philosophy of Cognitive Science. New York: Oxford University Press. Hopgood, A.A. (2011). Intelligent Systems for Engineers and Scientists. Boca Raton, FL: CRC press.

Izard, C. E. (2013). Human Emotions. New York: Springer Science & Business Media. 93 Jones, N. A., Ross, H., Lynam, T., Perez, P., & Leitch, A. (2011). Mental models: An interdisciplinary synthesis of theory and methods. Ecology and Society, 16(1). Available online https://www.ecologyandsociety.org/vol16/iss1/art46/.

Johnson-Laird, P. J. (2005). Mental models and thought. In K. Holyoak & B. Morrison (Eds.), The Cambridge Handbook of Thinking and Reasoning (pp. 185208). Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press.

Kanov, M. (2017). Borry, what was your Name Again? How to Use a Social Robot to Simulate Alzheimer Disease and Exploring the Effects on its Interlocutors. Stockholm, Sweden: KTH Royal Institute of Technology.

Kefalas, P., Sakellariou, I., Basakos, D., & Stamatopoulou, I. (2014). A formal approach to model emotional agents behavior in disaster management situations. In Likas A., Blekas K., Kalles D. (Eds.), Artificial Intelligence: Methods and Applications, SETN 2014, Lecture Notes in Computer Science (vol. 8445, pp. 237250). Cham, Switzerland: Springer.

Kelley, T. D. (2014). Robotic dreams: A computational justification for the post-hoc processing of episodic memories. International Journal of Machine Consciousness, 6(2), 109II23.

Khalili, A., Auer, S., & Ngonga, Ngomo AC. (2014). Context []ghtweight text analytics using linked data. In: V. Presutti, C. d/Amato, F. Gandon, M. d/Aquin, S. Staab, A. Tordai (Eds.), The Semantic Web: Trends and Challenges, ESWC 2014, Lecture Notes in Computer Science (vol. 8465, pp. 628643). Cham, Switzerland: Springer.

Kiesel, D. (2005). A brief introduction to neural networks (ZETA2-EN). Available online http://www.dkriesel.com/\_media/science/neuronalenetze-en-zeta2-2col-dkrieselcom.pdf. Lamb, C., Brown, D. G., & Clarke, C. L. (2018). Evaluating computational creativity: An interdisciplinary tutorial. ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR), 51(2), 28.

Lilly, J. (2010). Programming the Human Biocomputer. Berkeley, CA: Ronin Publishing. Lim, M. Y., Dias, J., Aylett, R., & Paiva, A. (2012). Creating adaptive affective autonomous NPCs. Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems, 24(2), 287B11.

Lorenz, K. , & Leyhausen, P. (1973). Motivation of Human and Animal Behavior; An Ethological View. New York: van Nostrand Reinhold Company.

Loughran, R., & Oleill, M. (2017). Application domains considered in computational creativity. In A. Goel., A. Jordanous, & A. Pease (Eds.), Proceedings of the Eighth International Conference on Computational Creativity ICCC 2017. Atlanta, Georgia, June 1928.

Mahadevan, S. (2018). Imagination machines: A new challenge for artificial intelligence. Available online https://people.cs.umass.edu/~mahadeva/papers/aaai2018-imagination.pdf. Maslow, A. (1954). Toward a Psychology of Being, 3rd ed. London, UK: Wiley & Sons. Mataric, M. J. (1994). Reward functions for accelerated learning. Machine Learning Proceedings, 181IB9.

Mautner, J., Makmal, A., Manzano, D., Tiersch, M., & Briegel, H. J. (2014). Projective simulation for classical learning agents: A comprehensive investigation. New Generation Computing, 33(1), 69II14.

94 McCormick, J., Vincs, K., Nahavandi, S., Creighton, D., & Hutchison, S. (2014). Teaching a digital performing agent: Artificial neural network and hidden arkov model for recognising and performing dance movement. In Proceedings of the 2014 International Workshop on Movement and Computing (p. 70). New York: ACM.

McRorie, M., Sneddon, I., McKeown, G., Bevacqua, E., De Sevin, E., & Pelachaud, C. (2012). Evaluation of four designed virtual agent personalities. Affective Computing, IEEE Transactions on, 3(3), 311B22.

Minton, S., Carbonell, J. G., Knoblock, C. A., Kuokka, D. R., Etzioni, O., & Gil, Y. (1989). Explanation-based learning: A problem solving perspective. Artificial Intelligence, 40(1B), 63II18.

Moore, G. T. , and R. G. Golledge . 1976. Environmental knowing: Concepts and theories. In G. T. Moore & R. G. Golledge (Eds.), Environmental Knowing: Theories, Research and Methods (pp. 324). Stroudsburg, PA: Dowden Hutchinson and Ross Inc.

Ortony, A. , Clore, G. L. , & Collins, A . (1990). The Cognitive Structure of Emotions. Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press.

Parasuraman, R., Sheridan, T. B., & Wickens, C. D. (2000). A model for types and levels of human interaction with automation. IEEE Transactions on systems, man, and cybernetics-Part A: Systems and Humans, 30(3), 286297.

Pease, A., Chaudhri, V., Lehmann, F., & Farquhar, A. (2000). Practical knowledge representation and the DARPA high performance knowledge bases project. In KR 2000: Proceedings of the Conference on Knowledge Representation and Reasoning (pp. 717/224). Breckenridge, CO.

P@z-Pinillos D., Fernfiblez S., Borrajo D. (2013). Modeling motivations, personality traits and emotional states in deliberative agents based on automated planning. In J. Filipe , A. Fred (Eds.), Agents and Artificial Intelligence, ICAART 2011, Communications in Computer and Information Science (vol. 271, pp. 146060). Berlin, Germany: Springer.

Perner, J. (1991). Understanding the Representational Mind. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press. Pomerol, J. C. (1997). Artificial intelligence and human decision making. European Journal of Operational Research, 99(1), 325.

Prinz, J. J. (2006). Is emotion a form of perception? Canadian Journal of Philosophy, 36(sup1), 137060.

Puic[M. A. , & Florea, A. M. (2013). Emotional belief-desire-intention agent model: Previous work and proposed architecture. International Journal of Advanced Research in Artificial Intelligence, 2(2), 18

Rahwan, T., Rahwan, T., Rahwan, I., & Ashri, R. (2004). Agent-based support for mobile users using agentSpeak (L). In P. Giorgini, B. Henderson-Sellers & M. Winikoff . (Eds.), Agent-Oriented Information Systems (AOIS 2003): Revised Selected Papers 456D. Berlin, Germany: Springer.

Rao, A. S., & Georgeff, M. P. (1991). Modeling rational agents within a BDI-architecture. In: R. Fikes and E. Sandewall (Eds.), Proceedings of Knowledge Representation and Reasoning (KR&R-91) (pp. 4734284). Burlington, MA: Morgan Kaufmann.

95 Rozo, Ĺ., JimEz, P., & Torras, C. (2013). A robot learning from demonstration framework to perform force-based manipulation tasks. Intelligent Service Robotics, 6(1), 3361.

Salgado, R., Bellas, F., Caamano, P., Santos-Diez, B., & Duro, R. J. (2012). A procedural long term memory for cognitive robotics. In Evolving and Adaptive Intelligent Systems (EAIS), 2012 IEEE Conference on (pp. 5762). IEEE.

Salichs, M. A., & Malfaz, M. (2012). A new approach to modeling emotions and their use on a decision-making system for artificial agents. Affective Computing, IEEE Transactions on, 3(1), 566B.

Sansonnet, J. P., & Bouchet, F. (2013) A framework covering the influence of FFM/NEO PI-R traits over the dialogical process of rational agents. In J. Filipe & A. Fred (Eds.) Agents and Artificial Intelligence, ICAART 2013, Communications in Computer and Information Science (vol. 449, pp. 62/29). Berlin, Germany: Springer.

Schank, R. C. (1990). Tell Me A Story: A New Look at Real and Artificial Memory. New York: Charles Scribner

Scherer, K. R., Bfiziger, T., & Roesch, E. (2010). A Blueprint for Affective Computing: A Sourcebook and Manual. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.

Scirea, M. , Togelius, J. , Eklund, P. , & Risi, S. (2017). Affective evolutionary music composition with meta compose. Genetic Programming and Evolvable Machines, 18(4), 433465.

Si, M. (2015). Should I stop thinking about it: A computational exploration of reappraisal based emotion regulation. Advances in Human-Computer Interaction, 5.

Simons, D. J. , & Chabris, C. F. (1999). Gorillas in our midst: Sustained inattentional blindness for dynamic events. Perception-London, 28(9), 1059ID74.

Singh, P. (2002) The open mind common sense project. Available online http://www.kurzweilai.net/.

Slater, S., & Burden, D. (2009). Emotionally responsive robotic avatars as characters in virtual worlds. In Games and Virtual Worlds for Serious Applications, 2009. VS-GAMESOD. Conference (pp. 12D). IEEE.

Stachowicz, D., & Kruijff, G. J. M. (2012). Episodic-like memory for cognitive robots. Autonomous Mental Development, IEEE Transactions on, 4(1), 116.

Stokes, D. (2014). The role of imagination in creativity. In E. S. Paul & S. B. Kaufman (Eds) The philosophy of Creativity: New essays (pp. 157IB4). Oxford, UK: Oxford Scholarship Online. Stokes, D. (2016) Imagination and creativity, in A. Kind (Ed.), The Routledge Handbook of the Philosophy of Imagination. London, UK: Routledge, 247261.

Sutton, S. and Barto, A. G. (1998). Reinforcement Learning: An Introduction. Cambridge, MA: MIT press.

Svensson, H. , & Thill, S. (2013) Should robots dream of electric sheep? Adaptive Behavior, 21(4), 222028.

Vernon, D., Beetz, M., & Sandini G (2015). Prospection in cognition: The case for joint episodic-procedural memory in cognitive robotics. Frontiers in Robotics & AI, 2, 19 96W3C. (2014). RDF 1.1 concepts and abstract syntax. W3C recommendation. Available online http://www.w3.org/TR/2014/REC-rdf11-concepts-20140225/.

Williams, D., Kirke, A., Miranda, E. R., Roesch, E., Daly, I., & Nasuto, S. (2015). Investigating affect in algorithmic composition systems. Psychology of Music, 43(6), 831854. Wilson, I. (2000). The artificial emotion engine, driving emotional behavior. In AAAI Spring Symposium on Artificial Intelligence and Interactive Entertainment (pp. 2022). Palo Alto, CA.

Available online http://www.aaai.org/Papers/Symposia/Spring/2000/SS-00-02/SS00-02-015.pdf.

# Communications

Abdul-Kader, S. A., & Woods, J. (2015). Survey on chatbot design techniques in speech conversation systems. International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications, 6(7), 7280.

111 Adam, C. , & Cavedon, L. (2013). A companion robot that can tell stories. In R. Aylett , B., Krenn. , C. Pelachaud & H. Shimodaira (Eds.), Proceedings of Intelligent Virtual Agents. 13th International Conference, IVA. Heidelberg, Germany: Springer.

Besacier, L. , Barnard, E. , Karpov, A. , & Schultz, T . (2014). Automatic speech recognition for under-resourced languages: A survey. Speech Communication, 56, 85 IDO.

Bibauw, S., Frantis, T., & Desmet, P. (2015). Conversational Agents for Language Learning: Sate of the Art and Avenues for Research on Task-based Agents. CALICO edition. Boulder, CO. Available online

https://lirias.kuleuven.be/bitstream/123456789/499442/1/2015.05.28+Dialogue+systems+for +language+learning.pdf.

Black, A. W., Bunnell, H. T., Dou, Y., Muthukumar, P. K., Metze, F., Perry, D., Polzehl, T., Prahallad, K., Steidl, S., & Vaughn, C. (2012). Articulatory features for expressive speech synthesis. In Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), 2012 IEEE International Conference on (pp. 40054008). IEEE.

Bradeko, L., & Madeni D. (2012). A survey of chatbot systems through a loebner prize competition. In Proceedings of Slovenian Language Technologies Society Eighth Conference of Language Technologies (pp. 3487).

Burden, D. J. H., Savin-Baden, M., & Bhakta, R. (2016). Covert implementations of the turing test: A more level playing field? In International Conference on Innovative Techniques and Applications of Artificial Intelligence (pp. 1952D7). Cham, Switzerland: Springer. Cassell, J. (2001). Embodied conversational agents: Representation and intelligence in user

interfaces. AI magazine, 22(4), 67.

Clancey, W. J. (1997). Situated Cognition: On Human Knowledge and Computer Representations. Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press.

Cockayne, D., Leszczynski, A., & Zook, M. (2017). # HotForBots: Sex, the non-human and digitally mediated spaces of intimate encounter. Environment and Planning D: Society and Space, 35(6), 1115

Cutajar, M., Gatt, E., Grech, I., Casha, O., & Micallef, J. (2013). Comparative study of automatic speech recognition techniques. Signal Processing, IET, 7(1), 2546. De Mantaras, R. L., & Arcos, J. L. (2002). Al and music: From composition to expressive performance. Al magazine, 23(3), 43.

Deemter, K. V. , Theune, M. , & Krahmer, E . (2005). Real versus template-based natural language generation: A false opposition? Computational Linguistics, 31(1), 1524.

Ekenel, H. K., Stallkamp, J., Gao, H., Fischer, M., & Stiefelhagen, R. (2007). Face recognition for smart interactions. In Multimedia and Expo, 2007 IEEE International Conference on (pp. 1007ID10). IEEE.

Gazzaniga, M. S. (2000). Cerebral specialization and interhemispheric communication: Does the corpus callosum enable the human condition? Brain, 123(7), 1293IB26.

112 Gee, S. (2018). Facebook closes M, Its virtual assistant. i-programmer.info. Available online http://www.i-programmer.info/news/105-artificial-intelligence/11448-facebook-closes-virtual-assistant.html.

Gilbert, R. L., & Forney, A. (2015). Can avatars pass the Turing test? Intelligent agent perception in a 3D virtual environment. International Journal of Human-Computer Studies, 73, 3086.

Hinton, G., Deng, L., Yu, D., Dahl, G. E., Mohamed, A. R., Jaitly, N., & Kingsbury, B. (2012). Deep neural networks for acoustic modeling in speech recognition: The shared views of four research groups. Signal Processing Magazine, IEEE, 29(6), 8207.

Jaffe, E., White, M., Schuler, W., Fosler-Lussier, E., Rosenfeld, A., & Danforth, D. (2015). Interpreting questions with a log-linear ranking model in a virtual patient dialogue system. The Twelfth Workshop on Innovative Use of NLP foBuilding Educational Applications (pp. 8696). Stroudsburg, PA: The Association for Computational Linguistics.

Johnson, M., Lapkin, S., Long, V., Sanchez, P., Suominen, H., Basilakis, J., & Dawson, L. (2014). A systematic review of speech recognition technology in health care. BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making, 14(1), 94.

Kelly, S. D. (2001). Broadening the units of analysis in communication: Speech and nonverbal behaviours in pragmatic comprehension. Journal of Child Language, 28(2), 325B49.

Khanna, A., Pandey, B., Vashishta, K., Kalia, K., Pradeepkumar, B., & Das, T. (2015). A study of today I through chatbots and rediscovery of machine intelligence. International Journal of u-and e-Service, Science and Technology, 8(7), 277/284.

Kisner, J. (2018). The technology giving voice to the voiceless. The Guardian. Available online https://www.theguardian.com/news/2018/jan/23/voice-replacement-technology-adaptive-alternative-communication-vocalid.

Koolagudi, S. G., & Rao, K. S. (2012). Emotion recognition from speech: A review. International Journal of Speech Technology, 15(2), 99<sup>m17</sup>.

Lala, R. , Jeuring, J. T. , & Overbeek, T. (2017). Analysing and adapting communication scenarios in virtual learning environments for one-to-one communication skills training. [ILRN2017] Available online http://castor.tugraz.at/doku/iLRN2017/iLRN2017paper34.pdf.

Lapakko, D. (1997). Three cheers for language: A closer examination of a widely cited study of nonverbal communication. Communication Education, 46(1), 6367.

McMillan, D., Loriette, A., & Brown, B. (2015). Repurposing conversation: Experiments with the continuous speech stream. In Proceedings of the 33rd annual ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (pp. 3953B962). New York: ACM.

Newitz, A. (2015). The Fembots of Ashley Madison. Gizmodo. Available online:

http://gizmodo.com/the-fembots-of-ashley-madison-1726670394.

113 Nolan, B. (2014). Extending a lexicalist functional grammar through speech acts, constructions and conversational software agents. In B. Nolan & C. Periti-Pascual (Eds.), Language Processing and Grammars: The Role of Functionally Oriented Computational Models (pp. 143II64) Amsterdam, the Netherlands: John Benjamins Publishing Company.

Oh, A. H., & Rudnicky, A. I. (2000). Stochastic language generation for spoken dialogue systems. In Proceedings of the 2000 ANLP/NAACL Workshop on Conversational Systems-Volume 3 (pp. 2782). Morristown, NJ: Association for Computational Linguistics.

Ramos-Soto, A., Bugarin, A. J., Barro, S., & Taboada, J. (2015). Linguistic descriptions for automatic generation of textual short-term weather forecasts on real prediction data. IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems, 23(1), 4457.

Savin-Baden, M., Tombs, G., Burden, D., & Wood, C. (2013). Its almost like talking to a person Estudent disclosure to pedagogical agents in sensitive settings. International Journal of Mobile and Blended Learning, 5(2), 7893.

Reiter, E., & Dale, R. (1997). Building applied natural language generation systems. Natural Language Engineering, 3(1), 5787.

Sidnell, J. (2011). Conversation Analysis: An Introduction. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons. Taylor, P. (2009). Text-to-Speech Synthesis. Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press. Taylor, P., & Isard, A. (1997). SSML: A speech synthesis markup language. Speech Communication, 21(1), 123IB3.

The Guardian. (2016). Microsoft deeply sorry for racist and sexist tweets by AI chatbot. Available online https://www.theguardian.com/technology/2016/mar/26/microsoft-deeply-sorry-for-offensive-tweets-by-ai-chatbot

Thimm, M., Villata, S., Cerutti, F., Oren, N., Strass, H., & Vallati, M. (2016). Summary report of the first international competition on computational models of argumentation. Al magazine, 37(1), 102.

Vinyals, O., & Le, Q. (2015). A neural conversational model. Proceedings of the International Conference on Machine Learning, Deep Learning Workshop. Available online https://arxiv.org/abs/1506.05869

Wallace, R. (2003). The Elements of AIML Style. Alice AI Foundation. Available online https://files.ifi.uzh.ch/cl/hess/classes/seminare/chatbots/style.pdf.

Warwick, K., & Shah, H. (2016). Can machines think? A report on turing test experiments at the royal society. Journal of experimental & Theoretical artificial Intelligence, 28(6), 989ID07. Wei, B., & Prakken, H. (2017). Defining the structure of arguments with AI models of argumentation. College Publications 68 122 Available online

https://dspace.library.uu.nl/bitstream/handle/1874/356057/WeibinPrakken17.pdf?sequence=1. Wen, T. H., Gasic, M., Mrksic, N., Su, P. H., Vandyke, D., & Young, S. (2015). Semantically conditioned lstm-based natural language generation for spoken dialogue systems. In Proceeding of EMNLP (pp. 17110721), Lisbon, Portugal, September.

114 Yamagishi, J., Veaux, C., King, S., & Renals, S. (2012). Speech synthesis technologies for individuals with vocal disabilities: Voice banking and reconstruction. Acoustical Science and Technology, 33(1), 15

Zen, H., & Senior, A. (2014). Deep mixture density networks for acoustic modeling in statistical parametric speech synthesis. In Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), 2014 IEEE International Conference on (pp. 3844B48). IEEE.

Zheng, T. F., Jin, Q., Li, L., Wang, J., & Bie, F. (2014). An overview of robustness related issues in speaker recognition. In Asia-Pacific Signal and Information Processing Association, 2014 Annual Summit and Conference (APSIPA) (pp. 10). IEEE.

# Architecture

Anderson, J. R. (1983). A spreading activation theory of memory. Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior, 22(3), 261295.

Anderson, J. R. (1996). ACT: A simple theory of complex cognition. American Psychologist, 51(4), 355.

Arafa, Y., & Mamdani, A. (2003). Scripting embodied agents behaviour with CML: character markup language. In Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces (pp. 313B16). New York: ACM.

Bechara, A., Damasio, H., & Damasio, A. R. (2000). Emotion, decision making and the orbitofrontal cortex. Cerebral Cortex, 10(3), 295807.

Becker, C., Kopp, S., & Wachsmuth, I. (2004). Simulating the emotion dynamics of a multimodal conversational agent. In A.E., Dybkj⊡, L, Minker., W, Heisterkamp. (Eds) Proceedings of the Tutorial and Research Workshop, ADS 2004, Lecture Notes in Computer Science (LNAI) (Vol. 3068, pp. 154065). Berlin, Germany: Springer.

Becker-Asano, C. (2014). WASABI for affect simulation in human-computer interaction. In Proceeding International Workshop on Emotion Representations and Modelling for HCI Systems. New York: ACM.

Becker-Asano, C., & Wachsmuth, I. (2010). Affective computing with primary and secondary emotions in a virtual human. Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems, 20(1), 32. Bower, G. H. (1981). Mood and memory. American Psychologist, 36(2), 129.

Brockman, G., Cheung, V., Pettersson, L., Schneider, J., Schulman, J., Tang, J., & Zaremba, W. (2016). OpenAI gym. Available online arXiv preprint arXiv:1606.01540. Brockman, G., & Sutskever, I. (2015). Introducing OpenAI [Web log post]. Available online https://blog.openai.com/introducing-openai/ Courgeon, M., Martin, J. C., & Jacquemin, C. (2008). Marc: A multimodal affective and reactive character. In Proceedings of the 1st Workshop on Affective Interaction in Natural Environments (pp. 1216). New York: ACM.

De Carolis B., Pelachaud C., Poggi I. & Steedman M. (2004) APML, a markup language for believable behavior generation. In H. Prendinger and M. Ishizuka (Eds) Life-Like Characters. Cognitive Technologies (pp. 6585). Berlin, Germany: Springer.

Dias J. & Paiva A. (2005). Feeling and reasoning: A computational model for emotional characters. In C. Bento , A. Cardoso & G. Dias (Eds.), Progress in Artificial Intelligence. EPIA 2005. Lecture Notes in Computer Science (Vol. 3808, pp. 127II40). Berlin, Germany: Springer. Dorner, D. (2003). The mathematics of emotions. In F. Detje & H. Schaub . (Eds.), Proceedings of the Fifth International Conference on Cognitive Modeling (pp. 75IZ9). Bamberg, Germany: Universitats-Verlag Bamberg, April 10II2.

Ernst, G. W., & Newell, A. (1967). Some issues of representation in a general problem solver. In Proceedings of the April 1820, 1967, Spring Joint Computer Conference (pp. 583600). New York: ACM.

139 Goertzel, B., & Duong, D. (2009). OpenCog NS: A deeply-interactive hybrid neuralsymbolic cognitive architecture designed for global/local memory synergy. In AAAI Fall Symposium: Biologically Inspired Cognitive Architectures (pp. 636B). Palo Alto, CA: AAAI.

Goertzel, B. , Hanson, D. , & Yu, G. (2014). Toward a robust software architecture for generally intelligent humanoid robotics. Proceeding Computer Science, 41, 158163

Gomes, P. F., & Jhala, A. (2013). Al authoring for virtual characters in conflict. In Proceedings on the Ninth Annual AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Interactive Digital Entertainment. Palo Alto, CA: AAAI.

Granger, R. (2006). Engines of the brain: The computational instruction set of human cognition. Al Magazine, 27(2), 15.

Gratch, J., Hartholt, A., Dehghani, M., & Marsella, S. (2013). Virtual humans: A new toolkit for cognitive science research. Applied Artificial Intelligence, 19, 215233.

Gratch, J., Rickel, J., Andr, E., Cassell, J., Petajan, E., & Badler, N. (2002). Creating interactive virtual humans: Some assembly required. IEEE Intelligent systems, 17(4), 546B. Hart, D., & Goertzel, B. (2008). Opencog: A software framework for integrative artificial general intelligence. In: Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence and Applications, Proceeding. 1st AGI Conference (Vol. 171, pp. 468472).

Hartholt, A., D. Traum, S. C. Marsella, A. Shapiro, G. Stratou, A. Leuski, L. P. Morency, and J. Gratch. (2013). All together. now. In Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Intelligent Virtual Agents (pp. 368B1). Berlin, Germany: Springer, August 29B1.

Hilgard, E. R. (1980). The trilogy of mind: Cognition, affection, and conation. Journal of the History of the Behavioral Sciences, 16(2), 107017.

Ho, W. C. , & Dautenhahn, K. (2008). Towards a narrative mind: The creation of coherent life stories for believable virtual agents. In H. Prendinger , J. Lester , M. Ishizuka (Eds.), Intelligent Virtual Agents, IVA 2008, Lecture Notes in Computer Science (Vol. 5208, pp. 5972). Berlin, Germany: Springer.

Johnson, T. R. (1997). Control in ACT-R and Soar. Control in Act-R and Soar. In M. Shafto & P. Langley (Eds.), Proceedings of the Nineteenth Annual Conference of the. Cognitive Science Society (pp. 343848). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Kiryazov K., & Grinberg M. (2010) Integrating emotions in the TRIPLE ECA model. In A. Esposito, N. Campbell, C. Vogel, A. Hussain, A. Nijholt (Eds.) Development of Multimodal Interfaces: Active Listening and Synchrony, Lecture Notes in Computer Science (Vol. 5967, pp. 122IB3). Berlin, Germany: Springer.

Klug, M., & Zell, A . (2013). Emotion-based human-robot-interaction. In Computational Cybernetics (ICCC), 2013 IEEE 9th International Conference on (pp. 365868). IEEE.

Kopp, S., Krenn, B., Marsella, S., Marshall, A. N., Pelachaud, C., Pirker, H., Thfibson, K. R., & Vilhjlinsson, H. (2006). Towards a common framework for multimodal generation: The behavior markup language. 140In J. Gratch, M. Young, R. Aylett, D. Ballin, P. Olivier (Eds.) Intelligent Virtual Agents, IVA 2006, Lecture Notes in Computer Science (Vol. 4133, pp. 205/217). Berlin, Germany: Springer.

Kshirsagar, S., Guye-Vuilleme, Ä., Kamyab, K., Magnenat-Thalmann, N., Thalmann, D., Mamdani, E. (2002).Avatar markup language. In Proceedings of 8th Eurographics Workshop on Virtual Environments, (pp. 169077). New York: ACM Press.

Laird, J. E. (2012). The Soar Cognitive Architecture. Cambridge, MA: MIT press.

Lehman, J. F., Laird, J. E., & Rosenbloom, P. S. (1996). A gentle introduction to Soar, an architecture for human cognition. Invitation to Cognitive Science, 4, 212/249.

Lim, M. Y., Dias, J., Aylett, R., & Paiva, A. (2012). Creating adaptive affective autonomous NPCs. Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems, 24(2), 287B11.

Lin, J. , Spraragen, M. , Blythe, J. , & Zyda, M. (2011). EmoCog: Computational integration of emotion and cognitive architecture. In Proceedings of the Twenty-Fourth. FLAIRS Conference. Palo Alto, CA: AAAI.

Marinier, R. P., & Laird, J. E. (2007, January). Computational modeling of mood and feeling from emotion. In Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society (Vol. 29, No. 29). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Marriott, A. (2001). VHMLØirtual human markup language. In Talking Head Technology Workshop, at OzCHI Conference (pp. 252264). Available online

http://ivizlab.sfu.ca/arya/Papers/Others/Representation%20and%20Agent%20Languages/OzC HI-01-VHML.pdf.

Martfiez-Miranda, J., Bres[A., & Garca-Gfiez, J. M. (2012). The construction of a cognitiveemotional module for the help4MoodsIvirtual agent. Information and Communication Technologies applied to Mental Health, 34(3).

Newell, A. (1992). Precis of unified theories of cognition. Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 15, 425402.

Newell, A. , & Simon, H . (1956). The logic theory machine complex information processing system. IRE Transactions on Information Theory, 2(3), 61 [29.

Nilsson, N. (1998). Artificial Intelligence: A New Synthesis. San Francisco, CA: Morgan Kaufmann.

Ortony, A. , Clore, G. L. , & Collins, A . (1990). The Cognitive Structure of Emotions. Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press.

Ritter, S., Anderson, J. R., Koedinger, K. R., & Corbett, A. (2007). Cognitive tutor: applied research in mathematics education. Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 14(2), 249255.

Rodriguez, L. F., Galvan, F., Ramos, F., Castellanos, E., Garca, G., & Covarrubias, P. (2010). A cognitive architecture based on neuroscience for the control of virtual 3D human creatures. International Conference on Brain Informatics (pp. 328B35).

141 Russell, J. A. , & Mehrabian, A . (1977). Evidence for a three-factor theory of emotions. Journal of Research in Personality, 11(3), 273/204.

Scherer, S., Marsella, S., Stratou, G., Xu, Y., Morbini, F., Egan, A., & Morency, L. P. (2012). Perception markup language: Towards a standardized representation of perceived nonverbal behaviors. In Y. Nakano, M. Neff, A. Paiva, M. Walker. (Eds.) Intelligent Virtual Agents, LNCS (Vol. 7502, pp. 455463). Berlin, Germany: Springer.

Slater, S., & Burden, D. (2009). Emotionally responsive robotic avatars as characters in virtual worlds. In Games and Virtual Worlds for Serious Applications, VS-GAMESOD. Conference in 12TD. IEEE.

Sloman, A. (2001). Beyond shallow models of emotion. Cognitive Processing, 2(1), 177 ID8. Sloman, A. (2003). The Cognition and Affect Project: Architectures, Architecture-Schemas, and the New Science of Mind. Technical Report School of Computer Science, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK. Available online

https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/b376/bcfcd69798a5027eae518d001cbaf629deae.pdf. Smart, P. R., Scutt, T., Sycara, K., & Shadbolt, N. R. (2016). Integrating ACT-R cognitive models with the unity game engine. In J. Turner, M. Nixon, U. Bernardet, & S. DiPaola (Eds.), Integrating Cognitive Architectures into Virtual Character Design (pp. 3564). Hershey, PA: IGI Global.

Smart, P. R., Tang, Y., Stone, P., Sycara, K., Bennati, S., Lebiere, C., Mott, D., Braines, D., & Powell, G. (2014) Socially-distributed cognition and cognitive architectures: Towards an ACT-R-based cognitive social simulation capability. At Annual Fall Meeting of the International Technology Alliance Annual Fall Meeting of the International Technology Alliance, United Kingdom (p. 8) September 15, 2014.

Sutskever, I. , Brockman, G. , Altman, S. , & Musk, E . (2016). OpenAl technical goals [Web log post]. Available online https://blog.openai.com/openai-technical-goals/.

Swartout, W. R. , Gratch, J. , Hill Jr, R. W. , Hovy, E. , Marsella, S. , Rickel, J. , & Traum, D . (2006). Toward virtual humans. Al Magazine, 27(2), 96.

Tulving, E. (1983). Ecphoric processes in episodic memory. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B, 302(1110), 361871.

Ylvisaker, M. , Hibbard, M. , & Feeney, T. (2006). What is cognition Available online LEARNet on The Brain Injury Association of New York State website:

http://www.projectlearnet.org/tutorials/cognition.html.

# Embodiment

Agarwal, R., & Karahanna, E. (2000). Time flies when youth having fun: Cognitive absorption and beliefs about information technology usage. MIS Quarterly, 24(4), 665694.

Anderson, M. L. (2003). Embodied cognition: A field guide. Artificial intelligence, 149(1), 91IB0. Brooks, R. A. (1991). Intelligence without reason. In: R. Chrisley & S. Begeer (Eds) Artificial intelligence: Critical Concepts, 3, 107IB3. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Calongne, C. , & Hiles, J . (2007). Blended realities: A virtual tour of education in second life. In TCC Worldwide Online Conference (pp. 7090). TCC Hawaii.

Dreyfus, H. L. (2007). Why Heideggerian AI failed and how fixing it would require making it more Heideggerian, Artificial Intelligence, 171(18), 11370160.

Freeman, W. J. (1991). The physiology of perception. Scientific American, 264(2), 7887. Froese T., & Ziemke T. (2009). Enactive artificial intelligence: Investigating the systemic organization of life and mind. Artificial Intelligence 173(34), 466500.

Harnad, S. (1990). The symbol grounding problem. Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena, 42(1B), 335B46.

Haugeland, J. (1998). Mind embodied and embedded, In J. Haugeland (Ed). Having Thought: Essays in the Metaphysics of Mind (207/237). Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press. Heidegger, M. (1958). The Question of Being. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield.

Jackson, F. (1986). What Mary didntknow. The Journal of Philosophy, 83(5), 291295.

Lakoff, G., & Johnson, M. (1999). Philosophy in the Flesh (Vol. 4). New York: Basic Books.

157 Lenat, D., & Guha, R. V. (1989). Building Large Knowledge-Based Systems: Representation and Inference in the Cyc project. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley Publishing Company.

Matuszek, C., Cabral, J., Witbrock, M. J., & DeOliveira, J. (2006). An introduction to the syntax and content of cyc. In AAAI Spring Symposium: Formalizing and Compiling Background Knowledge and Its Applications to Knowledge Representation and Question Answering (pp. 4409). Palo Alto, CA: AAAI.

Merleau-Ponty, M. (1962). Phenomenology of Perception. London, UK: Routledge.

Piaget, J. (1954). The Construction of Reality in the Child. London, UK: Routledge.

Sloman, A. (2009). Bome requirements for human-like robots: Why the recent over-emphasis on embodiment has held up progress[In B. Sendhoff et al. (Eds.), Creating Brain-Like Intelligence, LNAI (Vol. 5436, 248277). Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag.

Varela, F. J., Thompson, E., & Rosch, E. (1991). The Embodied Mind: Cognitive Science and Human Experience. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Zeimke, T. (2001). Are robots embodied? In Proceedings of the First Intl. Workshop on Epigenetic Robotic (Vol. 85). Lund, Sweden: Lund University Cognitive Studies.

# Assembling and Assemblages

Ciechanowski, L., Przegalinska, A., Magnuski, M., & Gloor, P. (2018). In the shades of the uncanny valley: An experimental study of humanEhatbot interaction. Future Generation Computer Systems. doi:10.1016/j.future.2018.01.055.

Harr<sup>[</sup>R. (1997). The Singular Self: An Introduction to the Psychology of Personhood. London, UK: Sage Publications.

Lankton, N. K., McKnight, D. H., & Tripp, J. (2015). Technology, humanness, and trust: Rethinking trust in technology. Journal of the Association for Information Systems, 16(10), 880. Leviathan, Y. (2018). Google Duplex: An AI System for Accomplishing Real-World Tasks Over the Phone. Google AI Blog. Available online https://ai.googleblog.com/2018/05/duplex-aisystem-for-natural-conversation.html.

MacBlain, S. (2018). Learning Theories for Early Years Practice. London, UK: Sage Publications.

Miron, A. M., McFadden, S. H., Hermus, N. J., & Buelow, J. (2017). Contact and perspective taking improve humanness standards and perceptions of humanness of older adults and people with dementia: A cross-sectional survey study. International Psychogeriatrics, 29(10), 1701

Pulver, D. L. (2002). Transhuman Space [Role-playing game]. Austin, TX: Steve Jackson Games.

Rutkin, A. (2016). Almost human? New Scientist, 231(3080), 1617.

Slater, S. , & Burden, D. (2009). Emotionally responsive robotic avatars as characters in virtual worlds. In Games and Virtual Worlds for Serious Applications VS-GAMESOP. Conference in

(pp. 1209). IEEE.

Wilson, S. G., & Nick Haslam, N. (2013). Humanness beliefs about behavior: An index and comparative human-nonhuman behavior judgments. Behavior Research Methods, 45(2), 372882.

# **Digital Ethics**

Borenstein, J. , & Arkin, R.C. (2016). Robots, ethics, and intimacy: The need for scientific research. In Conference of the International Association for Computing and Philosophy (IACAP 2016), Ferrara, Italy, June.

Corritore, C. L., Kracher, B., & Wiedenbeck, S. (2003). On-line trust: Concepts, evolving themes, a model. International Journal of Human-Computer Studies, 58(6), 737///258.

Culley, K. E. , & Madhavan, P . (2013). A note of caution regarding anthropomorphism in HCI agents. Computers in Human Behavior, 29(3), 577579.

Docherty, B. (2016). Losing control: The dangers of killer robots. The Conversation, June 16. Ess, C. M. (2016). Phronesis for machine ethics? Can robots perform ethical judgments? In J. Seibt , M. NEskov , & S. S. Andersen (Eds.), What Social Robots Can and Should Do, Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence and Applications (pp. 386EB9). Amsterdam, the Netherlands: IOS Press. Ess, C. M. (2017a). Communication and technology. Annals of the International Communication Association, 41(3E), s209EP12.

Ess, C. M. (2017b). Digital media ethics. Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Communication. doi:10.1093/acrefore/9780190228613.013.508.

European Union Directive 95/46/EC of 13th May 2014 on personal data The protection of individuals with regard to the processing of such data.

Hasler, B. S., Tuchman, P., & Friedman, D. (2013). Virtual research assistants: Replacing human interviewers by automated avatars in virtual worlds. Computers in Human Behavior, 29(4), 1608IB16.

Hern, A. (2017). Give robots personhood status, EU committee argues. The Guardian, January 17.

Levy, D. (2008). Love and Sex with Robots: The Evolution of Human-Robot Relationships. New York: Harper Perennial.

Malle, B. F. (2016). Integrating robot ethics and machine morality: The study and design of moral competence in robots. Ethics Information Technology, 18, 243256.

196 Markham, A., & Buchanan, E. (2012). Ethical Decision-Making and Internet Research: Recommendations for the AOIR Ethics Working Committee Version 2.0, Association of Internet Researchers. Available online http://aoir.org/reports/ethics2.pdf.

Michel, A. H. (2013). Interview: The professor of robot love. Center for the Study of the Drone, October 5. Available online http://dronecenter.bard.edu/interview-professor-robot-love/. National Commission for the Protection of Human Subjects of Biomedical and Behavioral Research (1978). Belmont report: Ethical principles and guidelines for the protection of human subjects of research. Available online http://www.fda.gov/ohrms/dockets/ac/05/briefing/2005-4178b\_09\_02\_Belmont%20Report.pdf (January 18, 2016).

National Institute of Health (1949). Nuremberg Code. Available online

https://history.nih.gov/research/downloads/nuremberg.pdf.

Eman, C. , & Floridi, L . (2018). An ethical framework for the digital afterlife industry. Nature Human Behaviour, 2, 318B20.

Prinz, J. J. (2011). Is empathy necessary for morality? In A. Coplan & P. Goldie (Eds.), Empathy: Philosophical and Psychological Perspectives (pp. 211229). Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.

Riek, L. D., & Howard, D. (2014). A code of ethics for the human-robot interaction profession (April 4). Proceedings of We Robot, 2014. Available online https://ssrn.com/abstract=2757805. Savin-Baden, M., Burden, D., & Taylor, H. (2017). The ethics and impact of digital immortality. Knowledge Cultures, 5(2), 1129.

Savin-Baden, M. , & Major, C . (2013). Qualitative Research: The Essential Guide to Theory and Practice. London, UK: Routledge.

Savin-Baden, M. , & Tombs, G . (2017). Research Methods for Education in the Digital Age. London, UK: Bloomsbury.

Savin-Baden, M., Tombs, G. & Bhakta, R. (2015). Beyond robotic wastelands of time: Abandoned pedagogical agents and new pedalled pedagogies. E-Learning and Digital Media, 12(34), 295814.
Savin-Baden, M. , Tombs, G. , Burden, D. , & Wood, C . (2013). Its almost like talking to a person. International Journal of Mobile and Blended Learning, 5(2), 7893.

Turkle, S. (2010). In good company? On the threshold of robotic companions. In Y. Wilks (Ed.), Close Engagements with Artificial Companions: Key Social, Psychological, Ethical and Design Issues (pp. 3ID). Amsterdam, the Netherlands: John Benjamins Publishing Company. United Nations. (1948). Universal declaration of human rights. Available online http://www.un.org/en/universal-declaration-human-rights/.

Waddell, T. F., Ivory, J. D., Conde, R., Long, C., & McDonnell. R. (2014). White mansivirtual world: A systematic content analysis of gender and race in massively multiplayer online games. Journal for Virtual Worlds Research, 7(2). Available online https://jvwr-ojs-utexas.tdl.org/jvwr/index.php/jvwr/article/view/7096.

World Medical Association. (1964). Declaration of Helsinki. Helsinki, Finland: World Medical Association.

## Identity and Agency

Bernstein, B. (1996). Pedagogy Symbolic Control and Identity. London, UK: Taylor & Francis Group.

Chafer, J. , & Childs, M. (2008). The impact of the characteristics of a virtual performance: Concepts, constraints and complications. In Proceedings of ReLIVE08 Conference, Milton Keynes, UK, November 2021. Available online www.open.ac.uk/relive08/.

Floridi, L. (2011). The construction of personal identities online. Minds and Machines, 21, 477479.

211 Furlong, J., & Davies, C. (2012). Young people, new technologies and learning at home: Taking context seriously. Oxford Review of Education: The Educational and Social Impact of New Technologies on Young People in Britain, 38(1), 4562.

Gibson, J. (1979). The Ecological Approach to Visual Perception. Boston, MA: Houghton, Mifflin Company.

Giddens, A. (1979). Central Problems in Social Theory: Action, Structure and Contradiction in Social Analysis. London, UK: Macmillan.

Giddens, A. (1984). The Constitution of Society. Cambridge, UK: Polity Press.

Giddens, A., & Pierson, C. (1998). Conversations with Anthony Giddens. Cambridge, UK: Polity Press.

Guadagno, R. E. , Blascovich, J. , Bailenson, J. N. , & McCall, C . (2007). Virtual humans and persuasion: The effects of agency and behavioral realism. Media Psychology, 10, 122.

Hall, S. (1996). Introduction: Who needs Identity In S. Hall & P. du Gay (Eds.), Questions of Cultural Identity. London, UK: Sage Publications.

Haraway, D. (1985). A Cyborg Manifesto: Science, technology, and socialist-feminism in the late twentieth century. In Simians, Cyborgs and Women: The Reinvention of Nature (pp. 149IB1). New York: Routledge.

Hayles, K. (1999). How We Became Posthuman: Virtual Bodies in Cybernetics, Literature and Informatics. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.

Hayles, K. (2012). How We Think: Digital Media and Contemporary Technogenesis. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.

Ito, M. , Baumer, S. , Bittanti, M. , Boyd, D. , Cody, R. , Herr-Stephenson, B. et al. (2010). Hanging Out, Messing Around, and Geeking Out. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Kim, Y., & Baylor, A. L. (2015). Research-based design of pedagogical agent roles: A review, progress, and recommendations. International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education, 26(1), 160169.

Lee, M. , Bruder, G. , Hillerer, T. , & Welch, G. (2018). Effects of unaugmented periphery and vibrotactile feedback on proxemics with virtual humans. AR IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics, 24(4), 15251534.

Limerick, H. , Coyle, D. , & Moore, J. W. (2014). The experience of agency in human-computer interactions: A review. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 8, 643.

Nakamura, L. (2010). Race and identity in digital media. In J. Curran (Ed.), Mass Media and Society. London, UK: Bloomsbury Academic.

Obhi, S. S. , & Hall, P . (2011). Sense of agency in joint action: Influence of human and computer co-actors. Experimental Brain Research, 211, 663670.

Postman, N. (1993). Technopoly: The Surrender of Culture to Technology. New York: Vintage Books.

Reeves, B., & Nass, C. (1996). The Media Equation: How People Treat Computers, Television and New Media Like Real People and Places. New York: Cambridge University Press. Robertson, J. (2010). Gendering humanoid robots: Robo-sexism in Japan. Body & Society, 16(2), 186.

212 Ryberg, T., & Larsen, M. C. (2008). Networked identities: Understanding relationships between strong and weak ties in networked environments. Journal of Computer Assisted Learning, 24(2), 103III5.

Saito, N. , Takahata, K. , Murai, T. , & Takahashi, H. (2015). Discrepancy between explicit judgement of agency and implicit feeling of agency: Implications for sense of agency and its disorders. Conscious and Cognition, 37,  $1\mathbb{Z}$ 

Savin-Baden, M. (2013). Spaces in between us: A qualitative study into the impact of spatial practice when learning in Second Life. London Review of Education, 11(1), 5975.

Savin-Baden, M. (2015). Rethinking Learning in an Age of Digital Fluency Is being Digitally Tethered a New Learning Nexus? London, UK: Routledge.

Savin-Baden, M., Tombs, G., Burden, D., & Wood, C. (2013). Its almost like talking to a person Estudent disclosure to pedagogical agents in sensitive settings. International Journal of Mobile and Blended Learning, 5(2), 7893.

Steils, N. (2013). Exploring learner identity in virtual worlds in higher education: Narratives of pursuit, embodiment and resistance, PhD thesis, Coventry University.

Tsakiris, M., Schtz-Bosbach, S., & Gallagher, S. (2007). On agency and body-ownership: Phenomenological and neurocognitive reflections. Consciousness & Cognition, 16, 645660. Turkle, S. (1996). Life on the Screen: Identity in the Age of the Internet. London, UK: Weidenfeld & Nicolson.

Turkle, S. (1999). Looking toward cyberspace: Beyond grounded sociology. Contemporary Sociology, 286, 643648.

Turkle, S. (2005). The Second Self: Computers and the Human Spirit (2nd ed.). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Turkle, S. (2011). Alone Together. New York: Basic Books.

Yee, N., Bailenson, J. N., Urbanek, M., Chang, F., & Merget, D. (2007). The unbearable likeness of being digital: The persistence of nonverbal social norms in online virtual environments. CyberPsychology & Behavior, 10(1), 115 II21.

 IEK, S. (1999). The Matrix, or two sides of perversion. Philosophy Today, 43. Available online

 http://www.nettime.org/Lists-Archives/nettime-I-9912/msg00019.html.

## Virtual Humans for Education

Barab, S., Dodge, T., Tuzun, H., Job-Sluder, K., Jackson, C., Arici, A., Job-Sluder, L., Carteaux, R., Jr., Gilbertson, J., & Heiselt, C. (2007). The Quest Atlantis Project: A socially-responsive play space for learning. In B. E. Shelton and D. Wiley (Eds.), The Educational Design and Use of Simulation Computer Games. Rotterdam, the Netherlands: Sense Publishers.

Bargh, J. A. , & Schul, Y . (1980). On the cognitive benefits of teaching. Journal of Educational Psychology, 72, 593604.

Baylor, A. (2009). Promoting motivation with virtual agent and avatars: Role of visual presence and appearance. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences, 364, 35598565.

Bayne, S. (2014). What Sithe matter with Dechnology enhanced learning Learning, Media and Technology, 40(1), 52D.

Bayne, S. (2015). Teacherbot: Interventions in automated teaching. Teaching in Higher Education, 20(4), 455467.

Bayne, S., & Jandric, P. (2017). From anthropocentric humanism to critical posthumanism in digital education. Knowledge Cultures, 5(2), 197216.

228 Bruner, J. (1991). Acts of Meaning. Cambridge MA: Harvard University Press.

Chase, C. C. , Chin, D. B. , Oppezzo, M. A. , & Schwartz, D. L. (2009). Teachable agents and the protglaffect: Increasing the effort towards learning. Journal of Science Education and Technology, 18(4), 334852.

Coleridge, S. T. (1817). Biographia Literaria. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press (printed 1983).

de Rosis, F., Pelachaud, C., & Poggi, I. (2004). Transcultural believability in embodied agents: A matter of consistent adaptation. In S. Payr & R. Trappl (Eds.), Agent Culture: Human-Agent Interaction in a Multicultural World (pp. 75D6). Mahwah, NJ: Laurence Erlbaum

Associates.

Dede, C. (1995). The evolution of constructivist learning environments: Immersion in distributed, virtual worlds. Educational Technology, 35(5), 4662.

Dewey, J. (1938). Experience and Education. New York: Collier and Kappa Delta Pi. Dincer, S., & Doganay. A. (2015). The impact of pedagogical agent on learners[inotivation and academic success. Practice and Theory in Systems of Education, 10(4) 329848. Galas, C., & Ketelhut, D. J. (2006). River city, the MUVE. Leading and Learning with Technology, 33(7), 3182.

Gee, J. P. (2004). What Video Games Have to Teach Us about Learning and Literacy. Basingstoke, UK: Palgrave Macmillan.

Goel, A. , & Joyner, D. (2016). An experiment in teaching cognitive systems online. Scholarship of Technology-Enhanced Learning, 1(1), 323.

Goodyear, P., Banks, S., Hodgson, V., & McConnell, D. (2004). Research on networked learning: An overview. In P. Goodyear, S. Banks, V. Hodgson, & D. McConnell (Eds.), Advances in Research on Networked Learning (pp. 11D). Boston, MA: Kluwer.

Graham, C. R. (2013). Emerging practice and research in blended learning. In: M. J. Moore (Ed.), Handbook of Distance Education (3rd ed.). New York: Routledge.

Gratch, J., DeVault, D., Lucas, G., & Marsella, S. (2015). Negotiation as a challenge problem for virtual humans. 15th International Conference on Intelligent Virtual Agents, Delft, the Netherlands.

Gulz, A. (2005). Social enrichment by virtual characters - differential benefits. Journal of Computer Assisted Learning, 21(6), 405418. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2729.2005.00147.

Gulz, A., & Haake, M. (2010). Challenging gender stereotypes using virtual pedagogical characters. In S. Goodman, S. Booth, & G. Kirkup (Eds.), Gender Issues in Learning and Working with Information Technology: Social Constructs and Cultural Contexts (pp. 113IB2). Hershey, PA: IGI Global.

Gustafson, J., & Bell, L. (2000). Speech technology on trial: Experiences from the August system. Natural Language Engineering, 6(3&4), 2732B6. doi:10.1017/s1351324900002485. Haggis, T. (2004). Meaning, identity and motivation Expanding what matters in understanding learning in higher education? Studies in Higher Education, 29(3), 335852.

Kim, Y., and Wei, Q. (2011). impact of learner attributes and learner choice in an agent-based environment. Computers & Education, 56(2), 505514.

229 Liew, T. W., Tan, S. M., & Jayothisa, C. (2013). The effects of peer-like and expert-like pedagogical agents on learners agent perceptions, task-related attitudes, and learning achievement. Educational Technology & Society, 16(4), 275286.

Meyer, J. H. F., & Eley, M. G. (2006). The approaches to teaching inventory: A critique of its development and applicability. British Journal of Education Psychology, 76, 633649.

Meyer, J.H. F., & Land, R. (2006). Threshold concepts and troublesome knowledge: Issues of liminality. In J. H. F. Meyer and R. Land (Eds.), Overcoming Barriers to Student Understanding: Threshold Concepts and Troublesome Knowledge. Abingdon, UK: RoutledgeFalmer. Moreno, R., and Flowerday, T. (2006). @hoice of animated pedagogical agents in science

learning: A test of the similarity-attraction hypothesis on gender and ethnicity. Contemporary Educational Psychology, 31(2), 1862D7.

Pausch, R., Proffl, D., & Williams, G. (1997). Quantifying immersion in virtual reality. In Proceedings of the 24th Annual Conference on Computer Graphics and Interactive Techniques. New York: ACM Press/Addison Wesley.

Pirolli, P. (2007). Information Foraging Theory: Adaptive Interaction with Information. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.

Plowman, L. (2014). Researching young children Deveryday uses of technology in the family home. Interacting with Computers, 27(1), 3646.

Robertson, G., Czerwinski, M., & van Dantzich, M. (1997). Immersion in desktop virtual reality. In Proceedings of the 10th Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology. New York: ACM Press.

Savin-Baden, M. (2007). A Practical Guide to Problem-based Learning Online. London, UK: Routledge.

Savin-Baden, M. (2010). Changelings and shape shifters? Identity play and pedagogical positioning of staff in immersive virtual worlds. London Review of Education, 8(1), 25B. Savin-Baden, M., Bhakta, R., & Burden, D. (2016). Cyber Enigmas? Passive detection and pedagogical agents: Can students spot the fake? In S. J. Cranmer, N. Bonderup-Dohn, M. De Laat, T. Ryberg, & J.-A. Sime (Eds.), Proceedings of the Tenth International Conference on Networked Learning 2016: Looking Back Moving Forward (pp. 456463). Lancaster, UK: Lancaster University.

Savin-Baden, M. , Tombs, G. , & Bhakta, R . (2015). Beyond robotic wastelands of time: Abandoned pedagogical agents and new pedalled pedagogies. E-Learning and Digital Media, 12(34), 2958114.

Savin-Baden, M., Tombs, G., Burden, D., & Wood, C. (2013). It almost like talking to a person: Student disclosure to pedagogical agents in sensitive settings. International Journal of Mobile and Blended Learning, 5(2), 7893.

Steuer, J. (1992). Defining virtual reality: Dimensions determining telepresence. Journal of Communication, 42(24), 73B.

Trigwell, K. , Prosser, M. , & Waterhouse, F . (1999). Relations between teachers[approaches to teaching and students[approaches to learning. Higher Education, 37, 57[0].

Turkle, S. (1996). Life on the Screen: Identity in the Age of the Internet. London, UK: Phoenix. 230 Turkle, S. (2005). The Second Self. Computers and the Human Spirit. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

van der Meij, H., van der Meij, J., & Harmsen, R. (2015). Animated pedagogical agents effects on enhancing student motivation and learning in a science inquiry learning environment. Educational Technology Research and Development, 63(3), 381403.

Veletsianos, G., & Russell, G. (2013). What do learners and pedagogical agents discuss when given opportunities for open-ended dialogue? Journal of Educational Computing Research, 48(3), 381401.

Vlahos, J. (2015) Barbie wants to get to know your child. New York Times, September 16. Available online https://www.nytimes.com/2015/09/20/magazine/barbie-wants-to-get-to-knowyour-child.html.

White, C., Chuah, J., Robb, A., Lok, B., Lampotang, S., Lizdas, D., Martindale, J., Pi, G., & Wendling, A. (2015). Using a critical incident scenario with virtual humans to assess educational needs of nurses in a postanesthesia care unit. Journal of Continuing Education in the Health Professions, 35(10), 158II65.

ı (2005). Interrogating the Real. London, UK: Continuum.

## **Digital Immortality**

Bainbridge, W. (2013). Perspectives on virtual veneration. Information Society, 29(3), 1962D2. Bassett, D. (2015). Who wants to live forever? Living, dying and grieving in our digital society. Social Sciences, 4, 1127

Bassett, D. (2017). Shadows of the dead: Social media and our changing relationship with the departed, Discover Society. Available online http://discoversociety.org/2017/01/03/shadows-of-the-dead-social-media-and-our-changingrelationship-with-the-departed/

Birnhack, M. , & Morse, T. (2018) Regulating access to digital remains Tesearch and policy report. Israeli Internet Association. Available online https://www.isoc.org.il/wp-

content/uploads/2018/07/digital-remains-ENG-for-ISOC-07-2018.pdf.

Bowlby, J. (1981). Attachment and Loss (Vol. 3). New York: Basic Books.

Bridge, M. (2016). Good grief: Chatbots will let you talk to dead relatives. The Times. Available online https://www.thetimes.co.uk/article/27aa07c8-8f28-11e6-baac-bee673517c57.

Brubaker, J., & Callison-Burch, V. (2016). Legacy contact: Designing and implementing postmortem stewardship at Facebook. In Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (pp. 2908/2919). Santa Clara, CA: ACM.

244 Burden, D. J. H. (2012). Digital Immortality. Presentation at Birmingham, UK: TEDx. Cuminskey, K., & Hjorth, L. (2018). Haunting Hands. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press. Dennett, D. C. (1995). The unimagined preposterousness of zombies. Journal of

Consciousness Studies, 2(4), 322B26.

Dubbin, R. (2013). The rise of twitter bots. The New Yorker. Available online http://www.newyorker.com/tech/elements/the-rise-of-twitter-bots.

Eternime (2017). Available online http://eterni.me/

Hallam, E. , & Hockey, J . (2001). Death, Memory, and Material Culture. Oxford, UK: Berg Publishers.

Harbinja, E. (2017). Post-mortem privacy 2.0: Theory, law, and technology. International Review of Law, Computers & Technology, 31(1), 2642.

Kasket, E. (2019) All the Ghosts in the Machine: Illusions of Immortality in the Digital Age. London, UK: Robinson.

Klass, D. , Silverman, S. , & Nickman S. (Eds.) (1996). Continuing Bonds: New Understandings of Grief. Washington, DC: Taylor & Francis Group.

Kubler-Ross, E. (1969). On Death and Dying. New York: Macmillan.

LifeNaut Project. (2017). Available online https://www.lifenaut.com/.

Maciel, C. (2011). Issues of the social web interaction project faced with afterlife digital legacy. In: Proceedings of the 10th Brazilian Symposium on Human Factors in Computing Systems and the 5th Latin American Conference on Human-Computer Interaction (pp. 312). ACM Press. Maciel, C. , & Pereira, V. (2013). Digital Legacy and Interaction. Heidelberg, Germany: Springer.

Nansen, B., Arnold, M., Gibbs, M., & Kohn, T. (2015). The restless dead in the digital cemetery, digital death: Mortality and beyond in the online age. In C. M. Moreman & A. D. Lewis (Eds.), Digital Death: Mortality and Beyond in the Online Age (pp. 111124). Santa Barbara, CA: Praeger.

Pennington, N. (2017). Tie strength and time: Mourning on social networking sites. Journal of Broadcasting and Electronic Media, 61(1), 112B.

Savin-Baden, M., & Burden, D. (2018). Digital immortality and virtual humans paper.

Presented at Death Online Research Symposium, University of Hull, August 15.

Savin-Baden, M. , Burden, D. , & Taylor, H . (2017). The ethics and impact of digital immortality. Knowledge Cultures, 5(2), 1119.

Sofka, C., Cupit, I. N., & Gilbert, K. R. (Eds.) (2012). Dying, Death, and Grief in an Online Universe: For Counselors and Educators. New York: Springer Publishing Company. Steinhart, E. C. (2014). Your Digital Afterlives. Basingstoke, UK: Palgrave MacMillan. Stroebe, M., & Schut, H. (1999). The dual process model of coping with bereavement:

rationale and description. Death Studies, 23, 197224.

245 Tonkin, L. (2012). Haunted by a Bresent Absence Studies in the Maternal, 4(1), 117. Walter, T. (1996). A new model of grief: Bereavement and biography. Mortality, 1(1), 725. Walter, T. (2017). How the dead survive: Ancestors, immortality, memory. In M. H. Jacobsen (Ed.), Postmortal Society. Towards as Sociology of Immortality. London, UK: Routledge.

## Futures and Possibilities

Baum, S. D., Goertzel, B., & Goertzel, T. G. (2011). How long until human-level AI? Results from an expert assessment. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 78(1), 185ID5. Bostrin, N. (2014). Superintelligence: Paths, Dangers, Strategies. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.

Cheshire, Jr., W. P. (2015). The sum of all thoughts: Prospects of uploading the mind to a computer. Ethics & Medicine, 31(3), 135.

DBilva, J., & Turner, J. (Eds.) (2012). Animals, Ethics and Trade: The Challenge of Animal Sentience. London, UK: Routledge.

Eden, A. H., Steinhart, E., Pearce, D., & Moor, J. H. (2012). Singularity hypotheses: An overview. In A. H. Eden, J. H. Moor, J. H. Soraker, & E. Steinhart (Eds.), Singularity Hypotheses (pp. 112). Heidelberg, Germany: Springer.

Epstein, Z. (2017). We finally have an idea of how many Alexa smart speakers Amazon sells. BGR. Available online http://bgr.com/2017/06/02/amazon-echo-sales-figures-2017-est/.

Eth, D. , Foust, J. C. , & Whale, B. (2013). The prospects of whole brain emulation within the next half-century. Journal of Artificial General Intelligence, 4(3), 130ID2.

Freitas, Jr., R.A. (1980). A self-reproducing interstellar probe. Journal of the British Interplanetary Society, 33(7), 251264.

Frey, C. B., & Osborne, M. A. (2017). The future of employment: How susceptible are jobs to computerisation? Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 114, 2542B0.

Gibbs, S. (2016). Chatbot lawyer overturns 160,000 parking tickets in London and New York. The Guardian. Available online https://@www.theguardian.com/technology/2016/jun/28/chatbot-ai-lawyer-fbnotpay-fbarking-tickets-london-new-york.

268 Goertzel, B. (2014). Artificial general intelligence: Concept, state of the art, and future prospects. Journal of Artificial General Intelligence, 5(1), 14.

Goertzel, B. , & Pennachin, C. (Eds.). (2007). Artificial General Intelligence (Vol. 2). New York: Springer.

Harle, R. F. (2002). Cyborgs, uploading and immortalityBome serious concerns. Sophia, 41(2), 7385.

Horner, D. S. (2008). Googling the future: The singularity of Ray Kurzweil. In T. W. Bynum , M. Calzarossa , I. Lotto , & S. Rogerson (Eds.), Proceedings of the Tenth ETHICOMP International Conference on the Social and Ethical Impacts of Information and Communication Technology: Living, Working and Learning beyond Technology (pp. 398408). Mantova, Italy: Tipografia Commerciale.

Hutter, M. (2004). Universal Artificial Intelligence: Sequential Decisions based on Algorithmic Probability. Berlin, Germany: Springer Science & Business Media.

Jones, E. (1985). Where is everybody? An account of Fermi Equestion Los Alamos Technical report LA-10311-MS, March 1985. Available online https://fas.org/sgp/othergov/doe/lanl/la-10311-ms.pdf.

Koene, R. A. (2012). Embracing competitive balance: The case for substrate-independent minds and whole brain emulation. In A. H. Eden , J. H. Moor , J. H. Soraker , E. Steinhart (Eds.), Singularity Hypotheses (pp. 241267). Berlin, Germany: Springer.

Kurzweil, R. (2005). The Singularity Is Near: When Humans Transcend Biology. London, UK: Penguin.

Linden, A. , & Fenn, J . (2003). Understanding Gartnershype cycles. Strategic Analysis Report NIR-20-1971. Gartner, Inc. Available online

https://www.bus.umich.edu/KresgePublic/Journals/Gartner/research/115200/ 115274/115274.pdf.

Long, K. F., Obousy, R. K., Tziolas, A. C., Mann, A., Osborne, R., Presby, A., & Fogg, M. (2010). PROJECT ICARUS: Son of Daedalus, flying closer to another star. arXiv preprint arXiv:1005.3833.

Marenko, B. (2018). FutureCrafting. Speculation, design and the nonhuman, or how to live with digital uncertainty. In S. Witzgall., M. Kesting, M. Muhle, & J. Nachtigall (Eds.), Hybrid Ecologies. Zurich, Switzerland: Diaphanes AG. Available online

http://ualresearchonline.arts.ac.uk/12935/1/FutureCrafting\_MARENKO.pdf.

Martin, A. R. (Ed.). (1978). Project Daedalus: The Final Report on the BIS Starship Study. London, UK: British Interplanetary Society.

Markham, H. (2006). The blue brain project. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 7(2), 153.

McLuhan, M., & McLuhan, E. (1992). Laws of Media: The New Science. Toronto, Canada: University of Toronto Press.

Muehlhauser, L. (2013). What is AGI? On Machine Intelligence Research Institute Dog. August 11. Available online https://intelligence.org/2013/ 08/11/what-is-agi/.

MILer, V. C. , & Bostrom, N. (2016). Future progress in artificial intelligence: A survey of expert opinion. In V. C. MILer (Ed.), Fundamental Issues of Artificial Intelligence (pp. 555572). Berlin, Germany: Synthese Library; Springer.

269New Robot. Japan Robot Strategy Dision, Strategy, Action Plan // The Headquarters for Japan Economic Revitalization. (2015). 90 r. Available online

http://www.meti.go.jp/english/press/2015/pdf/0123\_01b.pdf.

Newton, S., & Snead, J. (2016). Mindjammer: Transhuman Adventure in the Second Age of Space [Role-playing Game]. Harlow, UK: Mindjammer Press.

Pacini, H. (2011). Issues in the path to the singularity: A critical view. Journal of Consciousness Exploration & Research, 2(5), 691/205.

Perez, S. (2017). Amazon sold fillions of Alexa devices over the holiday shopping weekend. TechCrunch. Available online https://techcrunch.com/2017/11/28/amazon-sold-millions-ofalexa-devices-over-the-holiday-shopping-weekend/.

Reeves, B., & Nass, C. I. (1996). The Media Equation: How People Treat Computers, Television, and New Media Like Real People and Places. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

Rutkin, A. (2016). Almost human? New Scientist (p. 17), July 2.

Sandberg, A., & Bostrom, N. (2008). Whole brain emulation: A roadmap technical report. Future of Humanity Institute, Future of Humanity Institute, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK. Available online http://www.fhi.ox.ac.uk/reports/2008-3.pdf.

Sandberg, A., & Bostrom, N. (2011). Machine intelligence survey. Technical report, 2011-1. Future of Humanity Institute, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK. Available online www.fhi.ox.ac.uk/reports/2011-1.pdf.

Shanahan, M. (2015). The Technological Singularity. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press. Sotala, K. (2012). Advantages of artificial intelligences, uploads, and digital minds. International Journal of Machine Consciousness, 4(1), 275291.

Yudkowsky, E. (2008). Artificial intelligence as a positive and negative factor in global risk. In N. Bostrom & M. M. Irkovi ([Eds.), Global Catastrophic Risks (pp. 308845). New York: Oxford University Press. Available online https://intelligence.org/files/AIPosNegFactor.pdf.